Tutorial 1

1 The Geometric Random Variable

Suppose that independent trials, each having probability p of being a success, are performed until a success occurs. If we let X be the number of trials required until the first success, then X is said to be a geometric random variable with parameter p. Its probability mass function is given by

$$p(n) = P\{X = n\} = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(n) = p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{n-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} p \frac{1-(1-p)^n}{1-(1-p)} = 1.$$

Expectation of a Geometric Random Variable:

$$E[X] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} np(1-p)^{n-1}$$
$$= p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nq^{n-1}$$
$$= p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dq} (q^n)$$
$$= p \frac{d}{dq} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n\right)$$
$$= p \frac{d}{dq} \left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)$$
$$= \frac{p}{(1-q)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{p}$$

where q = 1 - p.

Intuitively, the expected number of independent trials we need to perform until we obtain our first success equals the reciprocal of the probability that any one trial results in a success.

2 The Poisson Random Variable

A random variable X, taking on one of the values $0, 1, 2, \cdots$, is said to be a Poisson random variable with parameter λ , if for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$p(i) = P\{X = i\} = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}, \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(i) = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda} = 1.$$

due to that the Taylor expansion of e^x at $x_o = 0$ is $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x}{n!}$.

An important property of the Poisson random variable is that it may be used to approximate a binomial random variable when the binomial parameter n is large and p is small. To see this, suppose that X is a binomial random variable with parameters (n, p), and let $\lambda = np$, i.e. $p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$. Then from the definition of the PMF of the binomial random variable

$$P\{X=i\} = \frac{n!}{(n-i)!i!} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$$
$$= \frac{n!}{(n-i)!i!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^i \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-i}$$
$$= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+1)}{i!} \frac{\lambda^i}{n^i} \frac{(1-\lambda/n)^n}{(1-\lambda/n)^i}$$
$$= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+1)}{n^i} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} \frac{(1-\lambda/n)^n}{(1-\lambda/n)^i}$$

Now, for \boldsymbol{n} large and \boldsymbol{p} small

$$(1-\frac{\lambda}{n})^n \approx e^{-\lambda}, \quad \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+1)}{n^i} \approx 1, \quad (1-\frac{\lambda}{n})^i \approx 1.$$

Hence, for n large and p small

$$P\{X=i\} \approx e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}.$$

Expectation of a Poisson random variable:

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ie^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ie^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{i}}{(i-1)!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \lambda e^{\lambda}$$
$$= \lambda$$

The moment generating function of a Poisson distribution with parameter λ .

$$\phi(t) = E\left[e^{tX}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{tn}e^{-\lambda}\lambda^n}{n!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^t\lambda)^n}{n!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda}e^{\lambda e^t}$$
$$= \exp\{\lambda(e^t - 1)\}$$

Differentiating yield

$$\phi'(t) = \lambda e^t \exp\{\lambda(e^t - 1)\},\$$

$$\phi''(t) = (\lambda e^t)^2 \exp\{\lambda(e^t - 1)\} + \lambda e^t \exp\{\lambda(e^t - 1)\}$$

and so

$$E[X] = \phi'(0) = \lambda,$$

$$E[X^2] = \phi''(0) = \lambda^2 + \lambda,$$

$$Var[X] = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 = \lambda.$$

3 Exponential Random Variables



Figure 1: An exponential random variable with parameter $\lambda=2$

A continuous random variable whose probability density function is given, for some $\lambda > 0$, by $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$, if $x \ge 0$ and f(x) = 0 if x < 0 is said to be an exponential random variable with parameter λ . Note that the cumulative distribution function F is given by

$$F(a) = P(X \le a) = \int_0^a \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = 1 - e^{-\lambda a}, \quad a \ge 0.$$

Note that $F(\infty) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = 1.$

The moment generating function of a exponential distribution with parameter λ .

$$\phi(t) = E[e^{tX}]$$

= $\int_0^\infty e^{tx} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$
= $\lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda - t)x} dx$
= $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}$ $t < \lambda$.

Note by the preceding derivation that, for exponential distribution, $\phi(t)$ is only defined for value of t less than λ (otherwise $\phi(t) = \infty$). Differentiating of $\phi(t)$ yields

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda - t)^2}$$
$$\phi''(t) = \frac{2\lambda}{(\lambda - t)^3}$$

Hence

$$E[X] = \phi'(0) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$
 $E[X^2] = \phi''(0) = \frac{2}{\lambda^2}$

The variance is thus given by

$$Var(X) = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

4 **Properties of Covariance**

For any random variables X, Y, Z and constant c,

- 1. $\operatorname{Cov}(X, X) = \operatorname{Var}(X),$
- 2. $\operatorname{Cov}(X, c) = 0$,
- 3. $\operatorname{Cov}(cX, Y) = c\operatorname{Cov}(Y, X),$
- 4. $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y + Z) = \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) + \operatorname{Cov}(X, Z).$

5 Take-home Exercise

Suppose we have random variables $X \sim \text{Bern}(\frac{1}{2})$ and $Y \sim \text{Bern}(\frac{1}{2})$, while X are Y are independent. Let us define a random variable Z as $Z = X \oplus Y$. Show that X, Y and Z are pairwise independent.

Proof:

We will first show that X and Z are independent, and a similar conclusion is straightforward due to the symmetry of this problem.

Note that

$$P(X = 0) = P(X = 1) = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad P(Y = 0|Y = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$$

According to the definition of Z, the possible values of Z are 0 and 1. Since X and Y are independent, we have

$$\begin{split} P(Z=0,X=0) &= P(Y=0,X=0) = P(Y=0)P(X=0) = \frac{1}{4},\\ P(Z=0,X=1) &= P(Y=1,X=1) = P(Y=1)P(X=1) = \frac{1}{4},\\ P(Z=1,X=0) &= P(Y=1,X=0) = P(Y=1)P(X=0) = \frac{1}{4},\\ P(Z=1,X=1) &= P(Y=0,X=1) = P(Y=0)P(X=1) = \frac{1}{4}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$P(Z = 0) = \sum_{x} P(Z = 0, X = x) = \frac{1}{2},$$
$$P(Z = 1) = \sum_{x} P(Z = 1, X = x) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

So we have

$$P(Z = 0, X = 0) = P(Z = 0)P(X = 0) = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$P(Z = 0, X = 1) = P(Z = 0)P(X = 1) = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$P(Z = 1, X = 0) = P(Z = 1)P(X = 0) = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$P(Z = 1, X = 1) = P(Z = 1)P(X = 1) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore, we have shown that X and Y are independent.

6 Exercises

1. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d random variables with expected value μ and variance σ^2 . Let us define the sample mean as $\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i/n$. Show that

(a)
$$E[X] = \mu$$
.
(b) $\operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$.
(c) $\operatorname{Cov}(\bar{X}, X_i - \bar{X}) = 0, i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Proof:

(a)

$$E[\bar{X}] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{n}\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[X_i\right] = \frac{n\mu}{n} = \mu$$

(b)

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_i\right) = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2}$$

(c)

$$Cov(\bar{X}, X_i - \bar{X}) = Cov(\bar{X}, X_i) - Cov(\bar{X}, \bar{X})$$

$$= Cov\left(\frac{X_i + \sum_{j \neq i} X_j}{n}, X_i\right) - Var(\bar{X})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}Cov\left(X_i + \sum_{j \neq i} X_j, X_i\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}Cov\left(X_i, X_i\right) + \frac{1}{n}Cov\left(\sum_{j \neq i} X_j, X_i\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}Var(X_i) + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j \neq i}Cov\left(X_i, X_j\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} - 0 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$= 0$$

I		
I		
I		

2. Suppose we know that the number of items produced in a factory during a week is a random variable with mean 500.

(a) What is the probability that this week's production will be at least 1000?

(b) If the variance of a week's production is known to equal 100, then what can be said about the probability that this week's production will between 400 and 600?

Solution:

Let X be the number of items that will be produced in a week.

(a) By Markov's inequality,

$$P(X \ge 1000) \le \frac{E[X]}{1000} = \frac{500}{1000} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

(b) By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$P(|X - 500| \ge 100) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{100^2} = \frac{1}{100}.$$

Hence,

$$P(|X - 500| \le 100) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{100} = \frac{99}{100}$$

and so the probability that this week's production will be between 400 and 600 is at least 0.99.

Notes:

a. (Markov's Inequality) If X is a random variable that takes only nonnegative values, then for any value a > 0, $P(X \ge a) \le \frac{E[X]}{a}$.

b. (Chebyshev's Inequality) If X is a random variable with mean μ and variance σ^2 , then, for any value k > 0, $P(|X - \mu| \ge k) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}$.

3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} be independent random variables, each being uniformly distributed over (0, 1). Estimate $P(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i > 7)$.

Solution:

Since $E[X_i] = \frac{1}{2}$, $Var(X_i) = \frac{1}{12}$, we have by the central limit theorem that

$$P\left(\sum_{1}^{10} X_i > 7\right) = 1 - P\left(\sum_{1}^{10} X_i \le 7\right)$$
$$= 1 - P\left(\frac{\sum_{1}^{10} X_i - 10\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{10\left(\frac{1}{12}\right)}} \le \frac{7 - 5}{\sqrt{10\left(\frac{1}{12}\right)}}\right)$$
$$\approx 1 - \Phi(2.2)$$
$$= 0.0139$$

Notes:

a. For a uniform random variable over (a, b), the mean is $\frac{a+b}{2}$, and its variance is $\frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$.

b. (Central Limit Theorem) Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, each with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Then the distribution of $\frac{X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_n-n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$ tends to the standard normal as $n \to \infty$. That is $P\left(\frac{X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_n-n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \le a\right) \to \Phi(a)$ as $n \to \infty$.

4. The lifetime of a special type of battery is a random variable with mean 40 hours and standard deviation 20 hours. A battery is used until it fails, at which point it is replaced by a new one. Assuming a stockpile of 25 such batteries, the lifetime of which are independent, approximate the probability that over 1100 hours of use can be obtained.

Solution:

If we let X_i denote the lifetime of the *i*th battery to be put in use, then we desire $p = P(X_1 + \cdots + X_{25} > 1100)$,

which is approximated as follows:

$$p = P(X_1 + \dots + X_{25} > 1100)$$

= 1 - P(X_1 + \dots + X_{25} \le 1100)
= 1 - P(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_{25} - 25 \times 40}{20\sqrt{25}} \le \frac{1100 - 40 \times 25}{20\sqrt{25}})
\approx 1 - \Psi(1)
\approx 0.1587

_	-	
	_	