COMP ENG 4TL4: Digital Signal Processing

Notes for Lecture #24 Tuesday, November 4, 2003

6.8 IIR Filter Design

Properties of IIR Filters:

- IIR filters may be unstable
- Causal IIR filters with rational system functions have nonlinear phase
- An IIR filters of order $\max(M,N)$ can have a sharper frequency response than an FIR filter of order M

Digital IIR Filters from Analog IIR filters:

All analog filters are IIR, and the art of continuous-time IIR filter design is very advanced \Rightarrow

it makes good sense to design IIR filters in the continuoustime domain and map them to the discrete-time domain A lowpass Butterworth filter is defined as:

$$|H_c(j\Omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + (\Omega/\Omega_c)^{2N}},$$

where N is the filter order. Note the monotonic frequency response, i.e., no passband or stopband ripple.



To obtain the transfer function of the Butterworth filter, define $s = j\Omega$ and substitute it into the equation for the magnitude-squared frequency response giving:

$$H_{c}(s) H_{c}(-s) = \frac{1}{1 + (s/j\Omega_{c})^{2N}} \\ = \frac{1}{1 + (-1)^{N} (s/\Omega_{c})^{2N}}$$

The 2N poles of this function are:

$$s_k = \Omega_c \exp\left[j \frac{(N+1+2k)\pi}{2N}\right], \quad 0 \le k \le 2N-1.$$



The Butterworth transfer function can be expressed as:

$$H_c(s) = \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{-s_k}{s - s_k}, \quad s = j\Omega,$$
$$s_k = \Omega_c \exp\left[j\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2N}\right)\right], \quad 0 \le k \le N-1.$$

It is possible to determine Ω_c and N which correspond to $|H_c(j\Omega)|^2$ satisfying some required specifications.

Example: If N = 1, then $s_0 = \Omega_c e^{j\pi} = -\Omega_c$. Therefore: $H_c(s) = \frac{1}{1 + s/\Omega_c} \Rightarrow |H_c(j\Omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + (\Omega/\Omega_c)^2}.$ A lowpass Chebyshev Type I filter is defined as:

$$|H_c(j\Omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon^2 T_N^2(\Omega/\Omega_c)},$$

where the Chebyshev polynomial of degree N is defined as:

$$T_N(x) = \begin{cases} \cos(N \operatorname{arccos} x), & |x| \le 1, \\ \cosh(N \operatorname{arccosh} x), & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$



The *s*-plane poles and the transfer function of the Chebyshev Type I filter can be obtained in a similar way to that for the Butterworth filter.

Note that a sharper transition region than the Butterworth filter is obtained at the expense of an equiripple passband.

Other widely used analog filters are:-

- <u>Chebyshev Type II:</u> monotonic passband, equiripple stopband; shallower transition region than Chebyshev Type I
- <u>Elliptic:</u> equiripple passband and stopband; sharper transition region than Chebyshev or Butterworth
- <u>Bessel:</u> monotonic frequency response; shallowest transition region; passband group delay nearly constant note that digital Bessel filters do not retain this property!

Transforming analog filters to digital filters:

An important question is what kind of transformation can be used to obtain digital IIR filters from the corresponding analog filters?

We wish to find some transformations of $H_c(s)$ to H(z), where H(z) is a digital filter transfer function.

Two widely used techniques are:

- 1. the *impulse invariance* method; and
- 2. the bilinear transformation.

Impulse invariance method of digital filter design:

Consider a (nearly) bandlimited continuous-time filter with the frequency response $H_c(j\Omega)$.

The aim of the impulse invariance method is to obtain a discrete-time frequency response $H(e^{j\omega})$ that is related to the continuous-time frequency response by a linear scaling of the frequency axis, i.e., $\omega = \Omega T$, giving:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = H_c(j\frac{\omega}{T}), \quad |\omega| \le \pi.$$

Recall that if $h[n] = h_c(nT)$, then:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{T}H_c(j\frac{\omega}{T}), \quad |\omega| \le \pi.$$

The discrete-time frequency response is scaled by a factor of 1/T in this case, so we need to scale the impulse response by a factor of *T* in order to obtain our desired frequency response \Rightarrow

$$h[n] = T h_c(nT) \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{impulse invariance} \\ \text{transformation} \end{array}$$

The *z*-transform of this scaled and sampled impulse response can be taken to determine the discrete-time transfer function of the digital filter. However, it is simpler to carry out the transformation from the *s*-domain to the *z*-domain by a direction transformation of a filter's transfer function.

Consider the transfer function of a continuous-time filter expressed in terms of a partial-fraction expansion:

$$H_c(s) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{A_k}{s - s_k}.$$

(For the sake of simplicity we are only considering the case of single poles at each s_k .)

The corresponding impulse response is:

$$h_c(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^N A_k e^{s_k t}, & t \ge 0, \\ 0, & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

The scaled and sampled impulse response is:

$$h[n] = T h_c(nT) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} T A_k e^{s_k nT} u[n]$$

=
$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} T A_k \left(e^{s_k T} \right)^n u[n].$$

. .

The system function of the discrete-time filter is therefore given by:

$$H(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{T A_k}{1 - e^{s_k T} z^{-1}}.$$

Note that a pole at $s = s_k$ on the *s*-plane is mapped to a pole at $z = e^{s_k T}$ on the *z*-plane.

Therefore, a stable pole in the *s*-domain $(\Re e\{s_k\} < 0)$ will map to a stable pole in the *z*-domain $(|z_k| = e^{\Re e\{s_kT\}} < 1)$.



However, the zeros do not map in such a simple way. The discrete-time zeros will be a function of the discrete-time poles and the coefficients TA_k in the partial fraction expansion.