# **Crash Test Dummies**

"You have to consider that a test dummy basically motivates all restraint design, whether belts or airbags" -Rolf Eppinger, Chief of the National Transportation Biomechanics Research Center



Tanya Starret and Steph Liddle



#### http://injurycases.net/pi/videos/dummies.wmv

## Goals of test dummies



emperiment.

"Word has it earthlings have been using some of our people for cruel scientific experiments." Human like
Determine injuries which will occur

Consistent

Resilient

# History - 1930s

- Car crash fatalities are on the rise
- The inside of a car is a very dangerous place
- Automobile makers start to think about safety BUT NO DATA !!



### History - Cadaver Testing

- Test to see what the body can withstand
  - i.e. Crushing and tearing forces
- Tests Conducted:
  - steel ball-bearings dropped on skull
  - body thrown down elevator shafts
  - cadavers subjected to crashes and roll overs

## History - Cadaver Testing

#### Problems:

- Most cadavers from elderly Caucasian males
- Couldn't use accident victims
- No two cadavers are the same
- Child cadavers hard to obtain

Improvements are made but new data acquired from these tests is scarce... Researchers look elsewhere...

## **History- Animal Testing**

#### Primarily used pigs

- Similar internal structure
- Can be put in a seated position
- Studied impalement by steering column and decapitation
- Information helped redesign the interior of the cabin
  - Dashboard padding Too hard or too soft?
  - Levers, knobs, buttons Placement?
  - Rearview mirror Stiffness?

### **History- Animal Testing**

Animal testing gathered useful data BUTOpposition from Animal Rights groupsPIGS ARE NOT HUMANS!!

Again researchers looked to other solutions...

## History - Sierra Sam

- 1949 Introduce Sierra Sam, the original crash test dummy
  - Designed from information gathered from cadaver and animal testing
  - Tested aircraft ejection seats
- The creator of Sierra Sam went on to create Sierra Stan and the VIP-50 crash test dummies
  - Inconsistent results
  - Unreliable

GM needs something better...



# History - Hybrid I

#### 1971 - GM Introduces Hybrid I

- 50th percentile male dummy
- Combines best features from VIP-50 and Sierra Stan
- More durable
- More consistent results

#### • Problems:

- Data acquired doesn't give enough insight into how to reduce injury.
- Can only test effectiveness of restraint

# History - Hybrid II

#### • 1972- Hybrid II

- Improved response in knee, spine and shoulder
- Better documentation
- First dummy to meet the requirements of the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS)

Responses still not human enough!! Hybrid I & II still of limited use...



# History - GM gets serious

- Research sitting position in cars

   i.e. relationship of posture to eye position
- Test different materials
- Research value of adding internal elements
  - Ex. Rib cage
- Biomechanical data helps determine ideal stiffness of materials
- Better production machinery

   More accurate, reliable dummies

## History - Hybrid III

- 1976 GM introduces Hybrid III, 50th percentile male
  - New neck & thorax
  - More transducers -- better data collection
  - Industry Standard
- Hybrid III becomes a family man
  - Big brother, 95th percentile male
  - 5th percentile female
  - 3 & 6 year old child dummies
  - CRABI baby dummy



# **Present Day - Dummy Varieties**

- Hybrid III family Frontal impact dummies
- **SID** Side Impact Dummy
  - Measures effect on spine, ribs and internal organs
- **BioRID** Rear Impact Dummy
  - More sophisticated spine & neck
  - More natural seating position
  - Primarily used to study whiplash
- CRABI child dummy (6,12& 18 months)
  - Tests effectiveness of child restraints
  - Studies effect of airbag on a child
- **THOR** 50th percentile male
  - Most up-to-date model
  - More later...



## THOR's day at the office

 Pre crash 'tests' Head bouncing test Pendulum swing Chest attack Getting dressed Yellow clothes Covered in grease paint Stickers



http://auto.howstuffworks.com/ crash-test-video.htm

#### Instrumentation

1 – accelerometers
 2 – load cells
 3 – string potentiometers

## Potentiometers





Linear

Angular



# How it all works: A detailed look at THOR



### **Head Instrumentation**

 Weighted head Bi-axial tilt sensor – Measures the relative angular orientation Uni-axial accelerometers - Reconstruct head kinematics





#### Face – the skin



Figure 3.1- Face assembly

 Human soft tissue
 Rubber and foam model human skin allowing researchers to determine if human skin would be compressed or torn during a similar experience

### **Face Instrumentation**



- Improvements
  - Uni-directional load cells
  - Located at each eye, on either cheek and the center of the chin

# **Neck and Spine**



#### Neck Assembly

- Multi-directional response
  - Compression springs in front and back to simulate muscle reaction
  - Soft rubber stoppers to restrict motion in forward, backward and sideways directions
  - Alternating aluminum disks and rubber pucks simulate vertebra



#### Neck Assembly

#### Sensors - Miniature load cells: compression of springs - Six component load cell: force and moment and top and base - Rotary potentiometer. relative rotation between head and neck



## Spine Assembly

#### Posture & Bending

- Neck and lower thoracic pitch change mechanism
  - Anthropomorphic locations
- Two flex joints
- Allow THOR to assume different postures

#### Sensors

- Tri-axial accelerometer
- Thoracic load cell



### Posture comparison

#### Neck position



Posture



## **Thorax and Mid Sternum**



# Thorax - CRUX

#### CRUX – compact rotary unit

- Made from 3 rotary
   potentiometers
- Universal joint attaches one end to rib cage
- Other end attached to spine
- Initial, dynamic and final positions of unit can be determined



Figure 16.7- Lower Right CRUX installed

## Thorax – CRUX with Ribs





#### **Elliptical ribs**

#### Upper and Lower Right CRUX

## Abdomen



## Upper Abdomen



- Major damage caused by steering wheel and airbag
- Uniaxial accelerometer

 Uniaxial potentiometer

### Lower Abdomen - DGSP

DGSP – double gimballed string potentiometer
Improved measurement of seat belt intrusion



# **Pelvis and Femur**



### **Previous Tests**

Lower body injuries are HUGE!!
Previous tests showed femur was weaker than hip
Dummies femur wasn't conducting force toward pelvis

#### Improvements



#### Pelvis

- 3 axis acetabular load cell at hip joint
- Belt load sensors on iliac notch

#### Femur

 Correct force transmission through femur into pelvic

### **Pelvis – Construction**

- Cast aluminum structure
- Tri-axial accelerometer in rear cavity
- Improved sensors



#### Side view





### **Femur - Construction**





- Needs to represent largest bone in the body
- 6-axis load cell
- Axial compliant bushing creates a biofidelic deflection

# Lower and Upper Extremities



### Lower Extremities



- Lower extremity injuries are most frequent
- Numerous load cells providing data for x,y and z directions
- Achilles cable tension
- Ankle rotation

# Future of Crash Testing

#### 1. New optical and magnetic sensor

- 3D Imaging capabilities
- Faster and more precise
  - Better able to measure exact amount of chest compression and head injury
  - Can tell if organ bruised or otherwise damaged
- Works by triangulation of magnetic fields
- Measure shearing deformation of the brain
  - Silicon gel will simulate brain tissue
- Major Problem: interference from metal parts

# Future of Crash Testing

#### 2. Computer simulations

- Goal: to have virtual humans with all internal organs
  - i.e. mathematical models of human systems
- Programmers not yet able to do full body simulations
- Success with individual body systems
- Extremely repeatable tests

# Future of Crash Testing

#### 3. Electronic Airbag sensors

- Records events of the crash
- Real world data
- Every crash provides data to keep others safe
- Helps improve dummies, simulations and other tests
- Eventually, sensors all around the car

# Comics



# Comics







# References

- <u>http://inventors.about.com/library/inventors/blcrashtestdummies1.htm</u>
- <u>http://inventors.about.com/library/inventors/blcrashtestdummies.htm</u>
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crash\_test\_dummy
- <u>http://www.ftss.com/history.cfm</u>
- http://auto.howstuffworks.com/crash-test1.htm
- <u>http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd-51/THORAdv/THORAdv.htm</u>
- <u>http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd-</u> <u>51/THORAdv/ThorAdv\_manualNDX.html</u>
- <u>http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1345871/posts</u>
- http://www.jhu.edu/~gazette/julsep98/jul2098/20dummy.html
- <u>http://www%2Dnrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd%2D51/Biomechanics</u> <u>Trauma.html</u>
- <u>http://www.cartoonstock.com/directory/c/crash\_test\_dummy.asp</u>
- <u>http://www.autoliv.com/Appl\_ALV/alvweb.nsf/htmlpages/library\_dictionar</u>
   ¥

## References

- <u>http://inventors.about.com/gi/dynamic/offsite.htm?site=http://www%2</u> <u>Dnrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd%2D51/BiomechanicsTrauma.ht</u> <u>ml</u>
- <u>http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/pdf/nrd-51/thoradv/UserManual/16-</u> <u>CRUX\_d.pdf</u>
- http://www.omega.com/prodinfo/loadcells.html
- <u>http://zone.ni.com/devzone/conceptd.nsf/webmain/F015C145C6B86</u>
   <u>58586256CD20069531B</u>
- <u>http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd-51/THORAdv/THORAdv.htm</u>
- <u>http://www.vectorscientific.com/biomechanics.html</u>
- http://www.waynegrant.com/video.php
- Jonathan D Rupp, et al. "Comparison of Knee/Femur Force-Deflection Response of the THOR, Hybrid III, and Human Cadaver to Dynamic Frontal-Impact Knee Loading"