Biomechanics of Dental Implants

Presented by: Jonathan Tomkun Binh Nguyen

# Outline

- History of Dental Implants
- Types
- Materials Used
- Procedures for Implantation

# Outline

- Osseointegration
- Forces and Loading
- Problems/Disadvantages
- Future Developments

# History

- Replace damaged or missing teeth
- Ancient Aztecs and Egyptians
- Dentures; around for 50 years
- Integrated dental implants; around for 25-30 years

Intramucosal Inserts
 AKA dentures
 Attached to gum
 Reduces chewing ability 40-80%
 Lowest cost





Subperiosteal
 Attached on top of bone
 Used with limited bone
 Up to 90% chewing ability
 High cost





Endosteal
 Attached to bone
 Up to 100% chewing ability
 High cost





Implant-Borne Bridgework
 Many adjacent missing teeth
 Bridge between several implants
 Up to 70% chewing ability
 Medium cost





3 Main Components:
 Crown
 Abutment
 Implant



Crown
 Mimic teeth properties
 Porcelain (ceramic)
 Porcelain-fused-to-metal
 Gold

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

Implant root
 Titanium or titanium alloy
 Corrosion resistant
 Highly biocompatible

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 7.1 Different types of threaded implants.

- Implant root
   Ideal length: 10mm
   Ideal width: 3-5mm
   Porous surface
   Shot blasting
  - Hydroxyapatite coating

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Implantation

Two stage technique
 Implant inserted into jawbone
 Totally recovered by gingival tissue
 Tissue reopened
 Desire 40 N of torsion
 Abutment and crown attached

### Two stage technique

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Two stage technique

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Implantation

One stage technique
 Implant inserted into jawbone
 Only 1 surgery
 Protrudes through gums
 Desire 40 N of torsion

### One stage technique

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Osseointegration

- Occurs when an implant is inserted into living bone
- Connection/bond forms between living bone and implant
- Key for long-term implant stability

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Osseointegration

- Factors affecting osseointegration
   Material
   Surface composition and structure
  - □Heat
  - Initial stability
     Bone quality

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Mainly vertical and transversal
- Compression, tension, shear, and bending moments
- Mastication and bruxism

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Inclined surface of crown → angled force Axial and lateral components

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Human bite force: 250-1200N (molars), 80-400N (frontal)
- Lateral components of bite force: 20N
- Maximum contact stress on teeth: 20 MPa
- Bending moments: 10-50N-cm

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Material Stiffness

Material	Young's Modulus (GPa)	Poisson's Ratio
Cortical bone	13.7	0.30
Cancellous bone	7.93	0.30
Titanium	120	0.35
Type III dental gold alloy	96.6	0.35
Feldspathic procelain	82.8	0.35
Enamel	48	0.33
Dentin	13	0.31

# Material Strength

- Titanium yield stress: 225 MPa
- Cortical bone yield stress: 130 MPa
- Cancellous bone: even lower elastic modulus and strength
- Bone or bone-titanium bond will fail before the titanium

# **Osseointegrated Implant**

- Bone heals around implant in the absence of loading
- Interlocking/in growth of bone into asperities of titanium implant
- Transmit shear stress directly into bone
- Misalignment results in internal stresses and reduces loading capacity

# Loading

- Bone-titanium interface moves as unit; no relative motion of titanium in bone
- Inclined faces of screw thread transmit load to surrounding bone

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Loading

- Factors affecting load bearing capacity of implants:
  - □ Type of loading
  - Shape and dimension of implant
  - Implant surface
  - Implant structure/material
  - Quality of bond to bone

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Finite Element Method

- Study stress and strain in teeth
- Complex physical system divided into large number of discrete elements
- Individual elements are easy to analyze

### **FEM Models**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 1. A 3D FE model of an implant/bone system constructed for analysis in this study. (a) 8mm fixture, (b) 13mm fixture, (c) abutment, (d) abutment screw

- Axial loading; maximum strain around implant base
- Lateral loading; maximum strain around implant neck

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Moments

- Crown larger than diameter of implant
- Larger crown and higher cuspal inclinations lead to higher moments
- FEM study: narrow crown stress of 64 MPa; wide crown, 84 MPa

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Loading

Bare dental implant modeled as spring K
Mass (M) with velocity (v) impacts
High peak force

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Loading

- Dental implant with abutment and crown modeled by softer spring K<sub>1</sub> and dashpot µ
- Lower modulus of elasticity provides internal damping
- Impulse same, lower peak force

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Problems

- Immediate loading may induce implant failure to osseointegrate
- Fibrous layer forms around an implant
- Any motion or abrasion of the implant will lead to degradation of surrounding bone

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Disadvantages

- Regular teeth are mobile → roots surrounded by periodontal ligament
- Pressure from ligament dissolves bone, reforms behind → orthodontics
- Dental implants rigid

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **Future Developments**

- Dental implants very advanced (85-100% success)
- Minor research into artificial root membranes
- Major development in computer tomography of jawbone, simulations, stints

### References

:	Arturo, N., N.	(2003).	Dental Biomechanics. London, UK:	Taylor & Francis Books	
÷	Brunski, B., J. interface.	(1999). Advances in	In vivo bone response to biomechanical <i>Dental Research</i> , 13, 99-119.	loading at the bone/dental-	implant
÷	Hobkirk, A,. J., & Wa <i>Implants</i> .	atson, M., R., a Toronto, ON	& Searson, J., J., L,. & Zarb, A., G. , Canada: Elsevier Health Sciences	(2003). Introducing E	Dental
•	Richter, E., J., <i>Journal of Pl</i>	(2005). rosthetic Denis	Basic Biomechanics of Dental Implants stry, 64 (5), 602-609.	in Prosthetic Dentistry.	The
•	Skalak, R.	(2006).	Biomedical Considerations in Osseointe	grated Prostheses.	The

Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 46 (6), 843-848

- Spiekermann, H., & Donath, K., & Hassell, M., T., & Jovanovic, S., & Richter, E., (1995). *Implantology*. New York, NY, USA: Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc.
- Stanford, M., C. (2005). Application of Oral Implants to the General Dental Practice. J Am Dent Assoc, 136, 1092-1100.
- Wolf, H., F., & Rateitschak-pluss, E., & Hassell, T., M., (2005). Periodontology. New York, NY, USA: Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc.
- Consumer Guide To Dentistry. (2008, September 20<sup>th</sup>). [Online]
- Avaliable: <u>http://www.yourdentistryguide.com/crown-material/</u> [2008, October 5<sup>th</sup>].
- Dental Implant Professional. (2008, September 20<sup>th</sup>). [Online]
- Avaliable: <u>http://ajouimplant.blogspot.com/</u> [2008, October 5<sup>th</sup>].
- Dental Implants. (2008, September 20<sup>th</sup>). [Online]
- Avaliable: <u>http://www.qualitydentistry.com/dental/implants/types.html</u> [2008, October 5<sup>th</sup>].
- Frequently Asked Questions About Dental Implants.
   (2008, September 22<sup>nd</sup>).
   [Online]
- Avaliable: <u>http://www.dental-implants.com/faq.htm</u> [2008, October 5<sup>th</sup>].

 Jingade, RR., & Rudraprasad, IV., & Sangur, R. (2008, October, 4<sup>th</sup>). Biomechanics of dental implants. A FEM study. J Indian Prosthodont, 5, 18-22. [Online] Available: <u>http://www.jprosthodont.com/text.asp?2005/5/1/18/16336</u> [2008, October 5<sup>th</sup>].

## Questions?