LECTURE 20: MICROSTRIP ANTENNAS – PART I

(Introduction. Construction and geometry. Feeding techniques. Substrate properties. Loss calculation.)

1. Introduction

Microstrip antennas (MSA) became widely accepted in the 1970's although the first designs and theoretical models appeared in the 1950's. They are suitable for many mobile applications: handheld devices, aircraft, satellite, missile, etc. The MSA are low profile, mechanically robust, inexpensive to manufacture, compatible with MMIC designs and relatively light and compact. They are quite versatile in terms of resonant frequencies, polarization, pattern and impedance. They allow for additional tuning elements like pins or varactor diodes between the patch and the ground plane.

Some of the limitations and disadvantages of the MSA are:

- relatively low efficiency (due to dielectric and conductor losses)
- low power
- spurious feed radiation (surface waves, strips, etc.)
- narrow frequency bandwidth for simple patch geometries (at most a couple of percent)
- relatively high level of cross polarization radiation

MSA are applicable in the GHz range (f > 0.5 GHz). For lower frequencies their dimensions become too large.

2. Construction and Geometry

Generally the MSA are thin metallic patches of various shapes etched on dielectric substrates of thickness *h*, which usually is from $0.003\lambda_0$ to $0.05\lambda_0$. The substrate is usually grounded at the opposite side.



The dimensions of the patch are usually in the range from $\lambda_0/3$ to $\lambda_0/2$. The dielectric constant of the substrate ε_r is usually in the range from 2.2 to 12. The most common designs use relatively thick substrates with lower ε_r because they provide better efficiency and larger bandwidth. On the other hand, this implies larger dimensions of the antennas. The choice of the substrate is limited by the RF or microwave circuit coupled to the antenna, which is usually built on the same board. The microwave circuit together with the antenna is often manufactured by photo-etching technology.

Types of microstrip radiators:

(a) single radiating patches



(b) single slot radiator



The feeding microstrip line is beneath (etched on the other side of the substrate) – see dash-line.

Nikolova 2019



The open end of the long TEM line is terminated in a matched resistive load.

(d) microstrip antenna arrays

Plate 7.1/A 40 GHz Microstrip Array Antenna (Photo Courtesy of AEG-TELEFUNKEN, West Germany).



3. Feeding Methods

1) Microstrip feed – easy to fabricate, simple to match by controlling the inset position and relatively simple to model. However, as the substrate thickness increases, surface waves and spurious feed radiation increase.



2) Coaxial probe feed – easy to fabricate, low spurious radiation; difficult to model accurately; narrow bandwidth of impedance matching.



3) Aperture coupling (no contact), microstrip feed line and radiating patch are on both sides of the ground plane, the coupling aperture is in the ground plane – low spurious radiation, easy to model; difficult to match, narrow bandwidth.



4) Proximity coupling (no contact), microstrip feed line and radiating patch are on the same side of the ground plane – largest bandwidth (up to 13%), relatively simple to model, has low spurious radiation.



More examples of microstrip and coaxial probe feeds:



4. Surface Waves

Surface waves are not desirable. They can be excited at the dielectric-to-air interface. They give rise to undesired end-fire radiation. In addition, they can lead to unwanted coupling between array elements. The phase velocity of the surface wave depends on the dielectric constant ε_r and the thickness h of the substrate. The excitation of surface waves in a dielectric slab backed by a ground plane has been well studied (Collin, Field Theory of Guided Waves). The lowest-order TM mode, TM₀, has no cut-off frequency. The cut-off frequencies for the higher-order modes $(TM_n \text{ and } TE_n)$ are given by

$$f_c^{(n)} = \frac{n \cdot c}{4h\sqrt{\varepsilon_r - 1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (1)

where c is the speed of light in vacuum. The cut-off frequencies for the TE_n modes are given by the odd n = 1, 3, 5, ..., and the cut-off frequencies for the TM_n modes are given by the even *n*. For the TE_1 mode, the calculated values of $h / \lambda_c^{(1)}$ are $[\lambda_c^{(1)} = c / f_c^{(1)}, h / \lambda_c^{(1)} = n / (4\sqrt{\varepsilon_r - 1})]$:

- a) 0.217 for duroid ($\varepsilon_r = 2.32$),
- b) 0.0833 for alumina ($\varepsilon_r = 10$).

Thus, the lowest-order TE_1 mode is excited at 41 GHz for 1.6 mm thick duroid substrate, and at about 39 GHz for 0.635 mm thick alumina substrate. The substrate thickness is chosen so that the ratio h / λ_0 is well below $h / \lambda_c^{(1)}$ (λ_0 is the free-space wavelength at the operating frequency), i.e., [3]

$$h < \frac{c}{4f_u \sqrt{\varepsilon_r - 1}},\tag{2}$$

where f_{μ} is the highest frequency in the band of operation. Note that h should be chosen as high as possible under the constraint of (2), so that maximum radiation efficiency is achieved. Also, h has to conform to the commercially available substrates. Another practical formula for h is given in [2]:

$$h \le \frac{0.3c}{2\pi f_u \sqrt{\varepsilon_r}}.$$
(3)

The TM₀ mode has no cut-off frequency and is always present to some extent. The surface TM₀ wave excitation becomes appreciable when $h/\lambda > 0.09$ (for $\varepsilon_r \cong 2.3$) and when $h/\lambda > 0.03$ (for $\varepsilon_r \cong 10$). Generally, to suppress the TM₀ Nikolova 2019 9

mode, the dielectric constant should be lower and the substrate height should be smaller. Unfortunately, decreasing ε_r increases the antenna size, while decreasing *h* leads to smaller antenna efficiency and narrower frequency band.

5. Criteria for Substrate Selection

- 1) surface-wave excitation
- 2) dispersion of the dielectric constant and loss tangent of the substrate
- 3) copper loss
- 4) anisotropy in the substrate
- 5) effects of temperature, humidity, and aging
- 6) mechanical requirements: conformability, machinability, solderability, weight, elasticity, etc.
- 7) cost

The first 3 factors are of special concern in the millimeter-wave range ($f \ge 30$ GHz).

FOR MICROSTRIP ANTENNAS					
Material	Dielectric Constant	Loss Tangent			
Unreinforced PTFE, Cuflon	2.1	0.0004			
Reinforced PTFE, RT Duroid 5880	2.20 (1.5%)	0.0009			
Fused Quartz	3.78	0.0001			
96% Alumina	9.40 (5%)	0.0010			
99.5% Alumina	9.80 (5%)	0.0001			
Sapphire	9.4, 1.6	0.0001			
Semi-Insulating GaAs	12.9	0.0020			

ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF COMMONLY USED SUBSTRATE MATERIALS FOR MICROSTRIP ANTENNAS

Properties	PTFE	Fused	Alumina	Sapphire	GaAs
•		Quartz			
temperature range (°C)	-55 - 260	<+1100	<+1600	-24 - 370	-55 – 260
thermal conductivity (W/cm·K)	0.0026	0.017	0.35 to 0.37	0.42	0.46
coefficient of thermal expansion (ppm/K)	16.0 to 108.0	0.55	6.30 to 6.40	6.00	5.70
temperature coefficient of dielectric constant (ppm/K)	+350.0 to +480.0	+13.0	+136.0	+110 to +140	-
minimum thickness (mil)	4	2	5	4	4
machinability	good	very poor	very poor	poor	poor
solderability	good	good	good	good	good
dimensional stability	poor for unreinforced, very good for others	good	excellent	good	good
cost	very low	high	low	-	very high

NON-ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF COMMONLY USED SUBSTRATE MATERIALS FOR MICROSTRIP ANTENNAS

6. Dispersion Effects in the Substrate

The dependence of the dielectric constant ε_r and the loss tangent on the frequency is referred to as frequency dispersion. For frequencies up to 100 GHz (the typical range for printed antennas is < 30 GHz), the dispersion of ε_r is practically negligible. The losses, however, display noticeable changes with frequency. In general, the loss increases with frequency.

7. Dielectric Loss and Copper Loss

The loss in the feed lines and the patches themselves are usually computed with formulas, which were first derived for microstrip transmission lines, i.e., the patch is treated as a wide piece of a microstrip line.

a) <u>Dielectric loss</u> (in dB per unit length, length is in the units used for λ_0)

$$\alpha_{d} = 27.3 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{r}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}(f)}} \cdot \frac{\left[\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}(f) - 1\right]}{(\varepsilon_{r} - 1)} \cdot \frac{\tan \delta}{\lambda_{0}}$$
(4)

b) <u>Copper loss</u> (in dB per unit length)

$$\alpha_{c} = \begin{cases} 1.38 \cdot \frac{R'_{s}}{hZ_{0}} \cdot \left[\frac{32 - \left(\frac{W'}{h}\right)^{2}}{32 + \left(\frac{W'}{h}\right)^{2}} \right] \Lambda, & \text{for } \frac{W}{h} \leq 1 \\ 32 + \left(\frac{W'}{h}\right)^{2} \end{bmatrix} \Lambda, & \text{for } \frac{W}{h} \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(5)$$

$$6.1 \times 10^{-5} \cdot \frac{R'_{s}Z_{0}\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}(f)}{h} \cdot \left[\frac{W'}{h} + \frac{0.667\frac{W'}{h}}{\frac{W'}{h} + 1.444} \right] \Lambda, & \text{for } \frac{W}{h} \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

In the above equations:

• $\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}(f)$ is the effective dielectric constant (generally, dispersive). Its quasi-static (low frequency) expression [2] is

$$\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}(0) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_r - 1}{2} \cdot \left(1 + 12\frac{h}{W}\right)^{-1/2}, & \text{for } W / h > 1\\ \frac{\varepsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_r - 1}{2} \cdot \left[\left(1 + 12\frac{h}{W}\right)^{-1/2} + 0.04\left(1 - \frac{W}{h}\right)^2\right], & \text{for } \frac{W}{h} \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(6)

Alternative expression for the quasi-static approximation of $\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}$ can be found in [5].

The quasi-static expressions need a dispersion correction for frequencies higher than 8 GHz. One possible correction is based on an empirical formula for the dispersive phase velocity in a microstrip line [5]. We first compute a normalized frequency (normalized with respect to the cut-off of the TE_1 mode):

$$\overline{f} = \frac{f}{f_c^{(1)}} = \frac{4h\sqrt{\varepsilon_r - 1}}{\lambda_0}.$$
(7)

Then, the dispersive phase velocity is calculated as

$$v_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{r_{eff}}(0)}} \cdot \frac{\overline{f^2} \sqrt{\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}(0)} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_r}}{\overline{f^2} + 1}.$$
(8)

Finally,

$$\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}(f) = (c / v_p)^2.$$
(9)

For alternative formulas, refer to [5].

• Z₀ is the characteristic impedance of the microstrip line (generally, dispersive):

$$Z_{0} = \begin{cases} \frac{120\pi\sqrt{\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}}}{\frac{W}{h} + 1.393 + 0.667\ln\left(\frac{W}{h} + 1.444\right)}, & \text{for } \frac{W}{h} \ge 1\\ \frac{60}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{r_{eff}}}} \cdot \ln\left(\frac{8h}{W} + 0.25\frac{W}{h}\right), & \text{for } \frac{W}{h} \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(10)

• A is a constant dependent on the strip thickness *t*:

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{h}{W'} \left[1 + \frac{1.25t}{\pi W} + \frac{1.25}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{4\pi W}{t}\right) \right], \text{ for } \frac{W}{h} \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \\ 1 + \frac{h}{W'} \left[1 - \frac{1.25t}{\pi W} + \frac{1.25}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{2t}{t}\right) \right], \text{ for } \frac{W}{h} \ge \frac{1}{2\pi} \end{cases}$$
(11)

• W' is the effective strip width:

$$\frac{W'}{h} = \begin{cases} \frac{W}{h} + \frac{1.25t}{\pi h} \left[1 + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi W}{t}\right) \right], \text{ for } \frac{W'}{h} \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \\ \frac{W}{h} + \frac{1.25t}{\pi h} \left[1 + \ln\left(\frac{2h}{t}\right) \right], \text{ for } \frac{W'}{h} \ge \frac{1}{2\pi} \end{cases}$$
(12)

• R'_s is the effective surface resistance of the conductor:

$$R'_{s} = R_{s} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan\left[1.4 \left(\frac{\Delta}{\delta} \right)^{2} \right] \right\}, \,\Omega$$
(13)

where $R_s = \sqrt{\pi f \mu / \sigma}$ is the high-frequency surface resistance of the conductor. R_s relates to the skin-depth δ as $R_s = (\delta \sigma)^{-1}$. For a uniform surface current distribution over a conducting rod of length l and perimeter of its cross-section p, the resultant resistance is

$$R_{hf} = R_s \cdot l / p, \,\Omega. \tag{14}$$

Finally, the total loss is the sum of the conduction and dielectric losses:

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_d + \alpha_c. \tag{15}$$

Metal		R _s [Ohm/square x10 ⁻⁷ f]		Skin-depth at 2 GHz [µm]
Silver	Ag	2.5	$\sigma = 6.1 \mathrm{x} 10^7 \mathrm{S/m}$	1.4
Copper	Cu	2.6	$\sigma = 5.8 \mathrm{x} 10^7 \mathrm{S/m}$	1.5
Gold	Au	3.0	$\sigma = 4.1 \mathrm{x} 10^7 \mathrm{S/m}$	1.7
Aluminum	Al	3.3	σ = 3.5 x10 ⁷ S/m	1.9

SURFACE RESISTANCE AND SKIN-DEPTH OF COMMONLY USED CONDUCTORS

Some References

- [1] D. M. Pozar and D. H. Schaubert, eds., *Microstrip Antennas*, IEEE Press, 1995 (a collection of significant manuscripts on microstrip antennas).
- [2] R. A. Sainati, *CAD of Microstrip Antennas for Wireless Applications*, Artech, 1996 (comes with some CAD freeware).
- [3] P. Bhartia, K. V. S. Rao, and R. S. Tomar, *Millimeter-wave Microstrip* and *Printed Circuit Antennas*, Artech, 1991.
- [4] J.-F. Zürcher and F. E. Gardiol, Broadband Patch Antennas, Artech, 1995.
- [5] K. C. Gupta et al., Microstrip Lines and Slotlines, 2nd ed., Artech, 1996.