EE757 Numerical Techniques in Electromagnetics Lecture 11

1

The Finite Element Method (FEM)

- The Ritz Method
- Galerkin's Method
- Introduction to FEM and general steps

References

Jianming Jin, The Finite Element Method in Electromagnetics, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

M. Sadiku, Numerical Techniques in Electromagnetics, CRC press

John L. Volakis, Arindam Chatterjee, and Leo C. Kempel, Finite Element Method for Electromagnetics, IEEE Press.

Ritz Method

- This method aims at solving a Boundary Value Problem (BVP) of the form L(Φ)=f, by minimizing a corresponding functional F(Φ)
- Example: Solve the BVP $\frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} = x+1$ 0 < x < 1subject to $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(1) = 1$ using Ritz method
- We define the corresponding functional $F(\varphi) = 0.5 \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dx}\right)^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{1} (x+1)\varphi dx$
- Notice that for every possible trial function φ̃(x) the functional *F* assumes a certain value *F*(φ̃)

- We want to show that the minimum of *F* is assumed at a function $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi_s^*$, the solution of the BVP
- If a trial function φ is perturbed by a <u>function</u> $\delta \varphi$, the functional *F* changes by ΔF where

$$\Delta F = F(\varphi + \delta \varphi) - F(\varphi) = \delta F + O(\delta \varphi^2)$$

$$F(\varphi + \delta \varphi) = 0.5 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d(\varphi + \delta \varphi)}{dx}\right)^2 dx + \int_0^1 (x+1)(\varphi + \delta \varphi) dx$$

$$\int_0^1 F(\varphi + \delta \varphi) = 0.5 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dx}\right)^2 dx + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dx}\right) \left(\frac{d\delta \varphi}{dx}\right) dx$$

$$+ 0.5 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d\delta \varphi}{dx}\right)^2 dx + \int_0^1 (x+1)\varphi dx + \int_0^1 (x+1)\delta \varphi dx$$

• If follows that we have

$$\Delta F = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{d(\varphi)}{dx}\right) \left(\frac{d(\delta\varphi)}{dx}\right) dx + 0.5 \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{d(\delta\varphi)}{dx}\right)^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{1} (x+1)\delta\varphi dx$$
$$\bigcup$$
$$\delta F = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{d(\varphi)}{dx}\right) \left(\frac{d(\delta\varphi)}{dx}\right) dx + \int_{0}^{1} (x+1)\delta\varphi dx$$

• For optimality, we should have $\delta F=0$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{d(\varphi)}{dx}\right) \left(\frac{d(\delta\varphi)}{dx}\right) dx + \int_{0}^{1} (x+1)\delta\varphi dx = 0$$

Integrate by parts
$$\delta\varphi \frac{d\varphi}{dx}\Big|_{0}^{1} - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d^{2}\varphi}{dx^{2}}\delta\varphi dx + \int_{0}^{1} (x+1)\delta\varphi dx = 0$$

Ritz Method (Cont'd)

• But as $\delta \varphi(0) = \delta \varphi(1) = 0$ because of fixed boundary conditions, the optimality condition gives

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2} - (x+1)\right)\delta\varphi \, dx = 0$$

- optimality condition has to apply for any perturbation $\delta \varphi$, it follows that the minimizer of the functional satisfies, $\frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} - (x+1) = 0$ which is our BVP
- The functional *F* was formulated such that its minimizer is the solution of the BVP we wish to solve

Ritz Method (Cont'd)

• If we assume a solution of the form $\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = c_1 + c_2 x + c_3 x^2 + c_4 x^3$ • Applying the boundary conditions we have $c_1=0$ and $c_2 = 1 - c_3 - c_4 \qquad \implies \qquad \widetilde{\varphi}(x) = x + c_3(x^2 - x) + c_4(x^3 - x)$ Substituting into the functional $F(\varphi) = 0.5 \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dx}\right)^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{1} (x+1)\varphi dx$ $F(c_3, c_4) = \frac{2}{5}c_4^2 + \frac{1}{6}c_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_3c_4 - \frac{23}{60}c_4 - \frac{1}{4}c_3 + \frac{4}{3}c_4 - \frac{1}{4}c_3 + \frac{4}{3}c_4 - \frac{1}{4}c_4 + \frac{1}{4$

Ritz Method (Cont'd)

Applying optimality conditions we get

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial c_3} = \frac{1}{3}c_3 + \frac{1}{2}c_4 - \frac{1}{4} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial c_4} = \frac{1}{2}c_3 + \frac{4}{5}c_4 - \frac{23}{60} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_3 = 1/2, \ c_4 = 1/6 \quad \Box \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x) = \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x$$

General Steps for the Ritz Method

- Formulate a functional whose minimizer is the solution of the BVP
- Apply optimality conditions to determine the parameters of the solution

Galerkin's Method

- This method seeks a solution to the BVP L(φ)=f by weighting the residual of the differential equation
- For a trial function $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ this residual is defined by $r = L(\tilde{\varphi}) f$
- The unknown solution is expressed as a sum of known entire domain basis functions $\varphi = \sum_{i} c_i v_i \,\Box \rangle \, \varphi = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{c}$ where $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_N \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\mathbf{c} \stackrel{i}{=} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_N \end{bmatrix}^T$
- We define the *i*th weighted residual as

$$R_i = \int_{\Omega} w_i r \, d\Omega, \, i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$$

• We set the weighted residuals to zero to obtain *N* equations in *N* unknowns

Galerkin's Method (Cont'd)

- For this method we choose $w_i = v_i$ to have $R_i = \int_{\Omega} v_i r \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} (v_i L(v^T) c - v_i f) \, d\Omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$
- Example: Solve the BVP $\frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} = x + 1$ 0 < x < 1subject to $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(1) = 1$ using Galerkin's method
- As shown before we selected the trial functions as $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = x + c_3(x^2 - x) + c_4(x^3 - x)$
- The residual for this trial function is $r = 2_{C_3} + 6_{C_4}x x 1$
- We select as weighting functions $w_1 = (x^2 x), w_2 = (x^3 x)$

Galerkin's Method (Cont'd)

- The weighted residuals are thus given by $R_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} (x^{2} - x) r dx = \frac{c_{3}}{4} + \frac{c_{4}}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = 0$ $R_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} (x^{3} - x) r dx = \frac{c_{3}}{2} + \frac{4c_{4}}{5} - \frac{23}{60} = 0$
- Solving these two equations we get $c_3=1/2$, $c_4=1/6$

General Steps for Galerkin's Method

- Expand the unknown solution in terms of basis functions
- Evaluate the weighted residuals using the basis functions as weighting functions
- Solve the resultant system of equations for the unknown coefficients

Introduction to FEM

• We introduce the FEM by solving the previous example $\frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2} = x+1 , \ 0 < x < 1, \ \text{subject to} \quad \varphi(0) = 0, \ \varphi(1) = 1$



- We discretize the space into 3 subdivisions (elements)
- Notice that each node has both a local and a global index, i.e., there are two numbering schemes

• Over the *i*th element, the unknown function is expressed as an interpolation of the unknown nodes values

$$\varphi(x) = \left(\frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i}\right) \varphi_i + \left(\frac{x - x_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i}\right) \varphi_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, 3, x_i \le x \le x_{i+1}$$

- Notice that φ_i, i=1, 2, 3, 4 are not known in general. In this problem only the boundary values are known (φ₁=0 and φ₄=1)
- We can formulate FEM using either Ritz's or Galerkin's methods

For the Ritz method, we utilize the functional

$$F(\tilde{\varphi}) = 0.5 \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{d\tilde{\varphi}}{dx}\right)^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{1} (x+1)\tilde{\varphi} dx$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$F(\tilde{\varphi}) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(0.5 \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} \left(\frac{d\tilde{\varphi}}{dx}\right)^{2} dx + \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} (x+1)\tilde{\varphi} dx\right)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(0.5 \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\varphi_{i+1} - \varphi_{i}}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}}\right)^{2} dx$$

$$+ \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} (x+1) \left(\left(\frac{x_{i+1} - x}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}}\right)\varphi_{i} + \left(\frac{x - x_{i}}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}}\right)\varphi_{i+1}\right) dx$$

• Integrating, we get

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5(x_{i+1} - x_i) \left(\frac{\varphi_{i+1} - \varphi_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i}\right)^2 + \varphi_{i+1} \left(\frac{2}{3}x_{i+1} + \frac{1}{3}x_i + 1\right) \\ + \varphi_i \left(\frac{2}{3}x_i + \frac{1}{3}x_{i+1} + 1\right) \\ \downarrow (\varphi_1 = 0 \text{ and } \varphi_4 = 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$F = 3\varphi_2^2 + 3\varphi_3^2 - 3\varphi_2\varphi_3 + (4/9)\varphi_2 - (22/9)\varphi_3 + (49/27)$$
• Applying optimality conditions for the minimizer of *F*

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi_2} = 6\varphi_2 - 3\varphi_3 + (4/9) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi_3} = -3\varphi_2 + 6\varphi_3 - (22/9) = 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \varphi_2 = 24/81, \ \varphi_3 = 40/81$$

• The same result can be obtained using Galerkin's method with the weighting functions

$$w_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_{i} - x_{i-1}}, & \text{for } x_{i-1} < x < x_{i} \\ \frac{x_{i+1} - x}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}}, & \text{for } x_{i} < x < x_{i+1} \end{cases}$$
 Prove it!

• We shall focus on the Ritz finite element method

General Steps of the Ritz FEM

- Divide the domain into subdomains (elements) Ω_e , e=1, 2, ..., M
- Over each element, expand the unknown function as an interpolation of the values of the element's nodes
 φ^e(**r**) = ∑ⁿ_{j=1} N^e_j(**r**) φ^e_j, **r** ∈ Ω_e, where φ^e_j is the value of φ at the *j*th node of the *e*th element and N^e_j(**r**) is the corresponding interpolation function
- Formulate the functional in terms of the unknown coefficients $F = \sum_{k=1}^{M} F^{e}(\tilde{\varphi}^{e})$
- Apply the optimality conditions for a minimizer of the functional $\partial F / \partial \varphi_i = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., N$
- Solve the resultant system of equations EE757, 2016, Dr. Mohamed Bakr