## EE750 Advanced Engineering Electromagnetics Lecture 12

1

## Duality

- Duality means that two differential/integral equations describing the behavior of two different variables have the same mathematical forms is solutions are identical
- Equations describing the case (*J*≠ 0, *M*=0) are dual to equations describing the case (*J*= 0, *M*≠ 0)

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{A} = -j\omega\mu \mathbf{H}_{A}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{A} = \mathbf{J} + j\omega\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_{A}$$

$$\nabla^{2}\mathbf{A} + \beta^{2}\mathbf{A} = -\mu\mathbf{J}$$

$$A = \frac{\mu}{4\pi}\iiint \frac{\mathbf{J}}{R} e^{-j\beta R} dV'$$

$$F = \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \iiint \frac{\mathbf{M}}{R} e^{-j\beta R} dV'$$

EE750, 2003, Dr. Mohamed Bakr

F

#### **Duality (Cont'd)**

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{A} = (1/\mu)\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A} \qquad \boldsymbol{E}_{F} = (-1/\varepsilon)\nabla \times \boldsymbol{F}$$
$$\boldsymbol{E}_{A} = -j\omega\boldsymbol{A} - (j/\omega\mu\varepsilon)(\nabla(\nabla \boldsymbol{A})) \qquad \boldsymbol{H}_{F} = -j\omega\boldsymbol{F} - (j/\omega\mu\varepsilon)(\nabla(\nabla \boldsymbol{A}))$$

It follows that the following quantities are identical  $E_A \iff H_F$   $H_A \iff -E_F$   $J \iff M$   $A \iff F$   $\varepsilon \iff \mu$   $\mu \iff \varepsilon$  $\beta \iff \beta$   $\eta \iff 1/\eta$ 

#### Example

Using Duality, find the fields resulting from an infinitesimal magnetic dipole  $I_m = a_z I_m$ 

The fields resulting from an <u>electric</u> dipole are



It follows that the fields resulting from the magnetic dipole are given by

$$H_{r} = \frac{I_{m}l}{2\eta\pi r^{2}}\cos\theta(1 + \frac{1}{j\beta r})e^{-j\beta r}$$
$$H_{\theta} = \frac{j\beta I_{m}l}{4\eta\pi r}\sin\theta(1 + \frac{1}{j\beta r} - \frac{1}{(\beta r)^{2}})e^{-j\beta r}$$
$$E_{\varphi} = \frac{-j\beta I_{m}l}{4\pi r}\sin\theta(1 + \frac{1}{j\beta r})e^{-j\beta r}$$

- This theorem establishes the conditions under which a unique solution exists for a given problem
- Assume that a closed surface *S* encloses a material with sources  $J_i$ ,  $M_i$  and complex parameters  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' j\mathcal{E}''$ ,  $\mu = \mu' j\mu''$
- If there are two possible solutions  $E^a$ ,  $H^a$  and  $E^b$ ,  $H^b$ , they must satisfy Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}^{a} = -\boldsymbol{M}_{i} - j\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{H}^{a} , \quad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{H}^{a} = \boldsymbol{J}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{E}^{a} + j\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{E}^{a}$$
$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}^{b} = -\boldsymbol{M}_{i} - j\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{H}^{b} , \quad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{H}^{b} = \boldsymbol{J}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{E}^{b} + j\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{E}^{b}$$

# **Uniqueness Theorem (Cont'd)**

- Subtracting the corresponding equations we get  $\nabla \times \delta E = -j\omega\mu\delta H$ ,  $\nabla \times \delta H = (\sigma + j\omega\varepsilon)\delta E$ where  $\delta E = E^a - E^b$  and  $\delta H = H^a - H^b$
- Notice that the differential fields satisfy the source-free Maxwell's equations
- Applying the source-free conservation of energy relation for  $\delta E$  and  $\delta H$  we get  $\oint_{S} (\delta E \times \delta H^{*}) ds = - \iiint_{V} \left[ \delta E . (\sigma + j\omega\varepsilon) \delta E^{*} + \delta H^{*} . (j\omega\mu) \delta H \right] dv$   $\int_{V} \bigcup_{V} ((\sigma + \omega\varepsilon'') |\delta E|^{2} + \omega\mu'' |\delta H|^{2}) dv$   $- j \iiint_{V} (-\omega\varepsilon' |\delta E|^{2} + \omega\mu' |\delta H|^{2}) dv$

- Now if we have  $\oiint(\delta E \times \delta H^*) ds = 0$ , this implies that  $\delta E = \delta H = 0$  everywhere inside *S*. Notice that the assumption of losses existence is important!
- Using the vector identity  $A \cdot B \times C = B \cdot C \times A = C \cdot A \times B$ , we have  $\oint_{S} (\delta E \times \delta H^{*}) \cdot dsn = \oint_{S} (n \times \delta E) \cdot \delta H^{*} ds = \oint_{S} (\delta H^{*} \times n) \cdot \delta E ds$
- It follows that the condition  $\oint_{S} (\delta E \times \delta H^*) ds = 0$  implies that one of the following three cases is satisfied:
- a) The tangential component of the *E* field is specified on *S*, i.e.  $n \times \delta E = 0$  on *S*

- b) The tangential component of the *H* field is specified on *S*, i.e.  $n \times \delta H = 0$  on  $S \implies \delta H^* \times n = 0$  on *S*
- c) The tangential component of the *E* field is specified on part of *S* and the tangential component of the *H* field is specified on the rest of *S*, i.e.

$$n \times \delta E = 0 \text{ on } S_1$$
$$n \times \delta H = 0 \text{ on } S_2$$
$$S = S_1 \cup S_2$$

# **Image Theory**



- Image theory enables us to evaluate the field generated by sources placed near infinite perfectly conducting boundary
- Virtual sources are added to maintain the same tangential field boundary conditions for the original problem

Actual source



- Image of a vertical electric dipole is another vertical electric dipole (same orientation)
- Notice that the tangential electric field components cancel out

Actual source



Virtual source

Image for a horizontal electric dipole has the same value but opposite orientation (Prove it!)

$$h \stackrel{I_e=I_e a_z}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}_0, \mu_0$$

• Obtain an expression for the far fields generated by a vertical electric dipole of length *l* placed near an infinite conducting wall

#### Example (Cont'd)



#### For the far fields we have

$$E_{\theta}^{1} = \frac{j\eta\beta I_{e} l}{4\pi r_{1}} \sin\theta_{1} e^{-j\beta r_{1}} \text{ and } E_{\theta}^{2} = \frac{j\eta\beta I_{e} l}{4\pi r_{2}} \sin\theta_{2} e^{-j\beta r_{2}}$$

$$r_{1}^{2} = r^{2} + h^{2} - 2rh\cos\theta \qquad r >>h \qquad r_{1} = r - h\cos\theta$$

$$r_{2}^{2} = r^{2} + h^{2} + 2rh\cos\theta \qquad r_{2} = r + h\cos\theta$$

## Example (Cont'd)

- Further, we can use for the amplitude  $r=r_1=r_2$
- The total field in the top half space is the sum of the field generated by both the actual and virtual sources  $E_{\theta} = E_{\theta}^{1} + E_{\theta}^{2} = \frac{j\eta\beta I_{e} l}{4\pi r} \sin\theta e^{-j\beta r} \left(e^{j\beta h\cos\theta} + e^{-j\beta h\cos\theta}\right)$  $z \ge 0$

 $E_{\theta}\!\!=\!\!0, z\!\!<\!\!0$ 

• Combining terms we get  $E_{\theta} = \frac{j2\eta\beta I_{e} l}{4\pi r} \sin\theta e^{-j\beta r} \cos(\beta h \cos\theta)$ element factor array factor

- Reciprocity theorem in circuit theory states that we can change the location of the source and observation points without affecting the measured values
- A similar theorem can be derived for electromagnetics
- Assume that two sets of sources  $J_1$ ,  $M_1$  and  $J_2$ ,  $M_2$  radiate within a linear isotropic medium
- Using Maxwell's equations, we have

 $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}_1 = -\boldsymbol{M}_1 - j\omega\mu \boldsymbol{H}_1 \qquad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}_2 = -\boldsymbol{M}_2 - j\omega\mu \boldsymbol{H}_2$  $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{H}_1 = \boldsymbol{J}_1 + j\omega\varepsilon \boldsymbol{E}_1 \qquad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{H}_2 = \boldsymbol{J}_2 + j\omega\varepsilon \boldsymbol{E}_2$ 

- Dot multiplying the H<sub>2</sub> curl equation by E<sub>1</sub> and dot multiplying the E<sub>1</sub> curl equation by H<sub>2</sub> and subtracting we get
- $\boldsymbol{E}_{1} \cdot \nabla \times \boldsymbol{H}_{2} \boldsymbol{H}_{2} \cdot \nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}_{1} = \boldsymbol{E}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{2} + \boldsymbol{H}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_{1} + j \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{E}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{2} + j \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{H}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{2}$ 
  - $-\nabla (\boldsymbol{E}_1 \times \boldsymbol{H}_2) = \boldsymbol{E}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_2 + \boldsymbol{H}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_1 + j \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{E}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_2 + j \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{H}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_2$
  - Similarly, we obtain
  - $-\nabla (\boldsymbol{E}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{1}) = \boldsymbol{E}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{1} + \boldsymbol{H}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_{2} + j \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{E}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{1} + j \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{H}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{1}$
  - Subtracting these two expressions, we obtain

$$-\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{E}_1 \times \boldsymbol{H}_2 - \boldsymbol{E}_2 \times \boldsymbol{H}_1) = \boldsymbol{E}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_2 + \boldsymbol{H}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_1 - \boldsymbol{E}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_1 - \boldsymbol{H}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_2$$

#### **Reciprocity Theorem (Cont'd)**

- Applying divergence theorem, we obtain the Lorentz reciprocity theorem
- $\oint_{S} (\boldsymbol{E}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{2} \boldsymbol{E}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{1}) d\boldsymbol{s} = \iint_{V} (\boldsymbol{E}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{2} + \boldsymbol{H}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_{1} \boldsymbol{E}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{1} \boldsymbol{H}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_{2}) dV$
- For a source-free region we have  $- \oiint_{S} (\boldsymbol{E}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{2} - \boldsymbol{E}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{ds} = 0$

- This theorem is based on the uniqueness theorem
- It obtains the fields outside an imaginary surface by placing electric and magnetic sources on the boundary so that the same boundary conditions are satisfied
- Assume that sources  $J_1$  and  $M_1$  radiate in an unbounded medium
- We place a virtual surface *S* that encloses these sources



Remove the sources and assume  $\begin{array}{c} V_1 \\ S \\ J_1, \\ M_1 \\ \varepsilon_1, \\ \mu_1 \\ E_1, \\ H_1 \\ E_1, \\ H_1 \end{array}$  Remove the sources and absence and the sources and the sources and the sources are the sources and the sources are the





 $V_2$ As  $J_s$  and  $M_s$  represent the<br/>tangential components of the<br/>tangential components of the<br/> $H_1$  and  $E_1$  fields, only one of<br/>them is needed according to<br/> $U_s = n \times H_1$  $V_s = n \times H_1$ uniqueness theorem

 $E_1, H_1$  Notice that  $J_s$  is shorted out

EE750, 2003, Dr. Mohamed Bakr





- At the surface we know  $E_y$  and  $H_x$
- Equivalent sources are given by  $J_y = H_x$  and  $M_x = E_y$