Constant-Weight Gray Codes for Local Rank Modulation

Eyal En Gad, Michael Langberg, *Member, IEEE*, Moshe Schwartz, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Jehoshua Bruck, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract-We consider the local rank-modulation (LRM) scheme in which a sliding window going over a sequence of real-valued variables induces a sequence of permutations. LRM is a generalization of the rank-modulation scheme, which has been recently suggested as a way of storing information in flash memory. We study constant-weight Gray codes for the LRM scheme in order to simulate conventional multilevel flash cells while retaining the benefits of rank modulation. We present a practical construction of codes with asymptotically-optimal rate and weight asymptotically half the length, thus having an asymptotically-optimal charge difference between adjacent cells. Next, we turn to examine the existence of optimal codes by specifically studying codes of weight 2 and 3. In the former case, we upper bound the code efficiency, proving that there are no such asymptotically-optimal cyclic codes. In contrast, for the latter case we construct codes which are asymptotically-optimal. We conclude by providing necessary conditions for the existence of cyclic and cyclic optimal Gray codes.

Index Terms—Flash memory, gray code, local rank modulation, permutations, rank modulation.

I. INTRODUCTION

I N a recent series of papers [27], [28], [41], [44], the rankmodulation scheme was suggested as a way of storing information in flash-memory devices. Basically, instead of a conventional multilevel flash cell in which the charge level of a single cell is measured and quantized to a symbol from the input alphabet, in the rank-modulation scheme the permutation induced by the relative charge levels of several cells comprises the stored information. The scheme, first described in [27] in the context of flash memory, works in conjunction with a simple cell-programming operation called "push-to-the-top", which raises the charge level of a single cell above the rest of the cells. It was

E. En Gad and J. Bruck are with the Department of Electrical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125 USA (e-mail: eengad@caltech.edu; bruck@paradise.caltech.edu).

M. Langberg is with the Computer Science Division, Open University of Israel, Raanana 43107, Israel (e-mail: mikel@openu.ac.il).

suggested in [27] that this scheme eliminates the over-programming problem in flash memories, reduces corruption due to retention, and speeds up cell programming.

This is certainly not the first time permutations have been used for modulation purposes. Permutations have been used as codewords as early as the works of Slepian [39] (later extended in [2]), in which permutations were used to digitize vectors from a time-discrete memoryless Gaussian source, and Chadwick and Kurz [9], in which permutations were used in the context of signal detection over channels with non-Gaussian noise (especially impulse noise). Further early studies include works such as [2]–[4], [8], [12], [13]. More recently, permutations were used for communicating over powerlines (for example, see [43]), and for modulation schemes for flash memory [27], [28], [41], [44].

An important application for rank-modulation in the context of flash memory was described in [27]: A set of n cells, over which the rank-modulation scheme is applied, is used to simulate a single conventional multilevel flash cell with n! levels corresponding to the alphabet $\{0, 1, \ldots, n! - 1\}$. The simulated cell supports an operation which raises its value by 1 modulo n!. This is the only required operation in many rewriting schemes for flash memories (see [5], [24]–[26], [45]), and it is realized in [27] by a Gray code traversing the n! states where, physically, the transition between two adjacent states in the Gray code is achieved by using a single "push-to-the-top" operation.

Most generally, a gray code is a sequence of distinct elements from an ambient space such that adjacent elements in the sequence are "similar". Ever since their original publication by Gray [22], the use of Gray codes has reached a wide variety of areas, such as storage and retrieval applications [10], processor allocation [11], statistics [14], hashing [18], puzzles [20], ordering documents [30], signal encoding [31], data compression [34], circuit testing [35], and more. For a survey on Gray codes the reader is referred to [37].

A drawback to the rank-modulation scheme is the need for a large number of comparisons when reading the induced permutation from a set of n cell-charge levels. Instead, in a recent work [44], the n cells are locally viewed through a sliding window resulting in a sequence of small permutations which require less comparisons. We call this the local rank-modulation scheme. The aim of this work is to study Gray codes for the local rank-modulation scheme.

Yet another drawback of the rank-modulation scheme is the fact that distinct n charge levels are required for a group of n physical flash cells. Therefore, restricted reading resolution prohibits the use of large values of n. However, when only local views are considered, distinct values are required only within a

Manuscript received December 29, 2010; revised May 13, 2011; accepted July 07, 2011. Date of current version November 11, 2011. This work was supported in part by the ISF under Grant 134/10 and Grant 480/08, by the Open University of Israel's research fund under Grant 46114, and by the NSF under Grant NSF-0801795, and under an NSF-NRI award.

The material in this paper was presented in part at the 2010 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory and at the 2010 IEEE Convention of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.

M. Schwartz is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Ben-Gurion University, Beer Sheva 84105, Israel (e-mail: schwartz@ee.bgu.ac. il).

Communicated by M. Blaum, Associate Editor for Coding Theory. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIT.2011.2162570



Fig. 1. Demodulating a (3, 5, 9)-locally rank-modulated signal.

small local set of cells, thus enabling the use of large groups of cells with local rank modulation (LRM).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II the exact setting, notation, and definitions are presented. In Section III we construct Gray codes with asymptotically-optimal rates and weight asymptotically half the length. We turn, in Section IV, to the more theoretical aspects of local rank-modulation Gray codes, and explore constructions for codes of low constant weight. We study, in Section V, necessary conditions for the existence of Gray codes for our setting with various properties. We conclude in Section VI with a summary and a set of open problems.

II. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

A. Local Rank Modulation

Let us consider a sequence of t real-valued variables, $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^t$, where we further assume $c_i \neq c_j$ for all $i \neq j$. The t variables induce a permutation $f_{\mathbf{c}} \in S_t$, where S_t denotes the set of all permutations over $[t] = \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$. The permutation $f_{\mathbf{c}}$ is uniquely defined by the constraints $c_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(i)-1} > c_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(j)-1}$ for all i < j, i.e., if we sort \mathbf{c} in descending order, $c_{j_1} > c_{j_2} > \cdots > c_{j_t}$ then $f_{\mathbf{c}}(i) = j_i + 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Given a sequence of n variables, $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$, we define a window of size t at position p to be

$$\mathbf{c}_{p,t} = (c_p, c_{p+1}, \dots, c_{p+t-1})$$

where the indices are taken modulo n, and also $0 \le p \le n-1$, and $1 \le t \le n$.

We now define the (s, t, n)-LRM scheme, which we do by defining the *demodulation* process. Let $s \leq t \leq n$ be positive integers, with s|n. Given a sequence of n distinct real-valued variables, $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$, the demodulation maps \mathbf{c} to the sequence of n/s permutations from S_t as follows:

$$\mathbf{f_c} = (f_{\mathbf{c}_{0,t}}, f_{\mathbf{c}_{s,t}}, f_{\mathbf{c}_{2s,t}}, \dots, f_{\mathbf{c}_{n-s,t}}).$$
(1)

Loosely speaking, we scan the n variables using windows of size t positioned at multiples of s and write down the permutations from S_t induced by the *local* views of the sequence.

In the context of flash-memory storage devices, we shall consider the *n* variables, $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$, to be the charge-level readings from *n* flash cells. The demodulated sequence, \mathbf{f}_c , will stand for the original information which was stored in the *n* cells. This approach will serve as the main motivation for this paper, as it was also for [27], [28], [41], [44]. See Fig. 1 for an example.

Though of no consequence to the rest of the paper, we mention in passing that the sequence of local permutations given in (1) may be quite a wasteful method of representation. A more compact form may be defined using the (mixed-radix) factoradic notation (see [29] for the earliest-known definition, and [27] for a related use) in which the *i*th most-significant digit counts the number of elements to the right of the *i*th-from-left element, which are of lower value. We then represent each of the local permutations $f_{c_{i,s,t}}$ using the *s* most-significant digits in its factoradic notation. Thus, for example, the configuration of Fig. 1 would be represented by ((3,0,1), (4,2,0), (0,2,2)).

We say a sequence \mathbf{f} of n/s permutations over S_t is (s,t,n)-*LRM realizable* if there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_c$, i.e., it is the demodulated sequence of \mathbf{c} under the (s,t,n)-LRM scheme. Except for the degenerate case of s = t, not every sequence is realizable.

When s = t = n, the (n, n, n)-LRM scheme degenerates into a single permutation from S_n . This was the case in most of the previous works using permutations for modulation purposes. A slightly more general case, s = t < n was discussed by Ferreira *et al.* [19] in the context of permutation trellis codes, where a binary codeword was translated tuple-wise into a sequence of permutation with no overlap between the tuples. Finally, the most general case was defined by Wang *et al.* [44] (though in a slightly different manner where indices are not taken modulo n, i.e., with no wrap-around). In [44], the sequence of permutations was studied under a charge-difference constraint called *bounded* rank-modulation, and mostly with parameters s = t - 1, i.e., an overlap of one position between adjacent windows.

Finding out the induced permutation from a sequence of t real-valued readings requires at least $\Omega(t \log t)$ comparisons. Thus, to get the simplest hardware implementation we will consider the case of t = 2 throughout the paper. The only non-trivial case to consider is therefore s = 1, i.e., a (1, 2, n)-LRM scheme. Demodulated sequences of permutations in this scheme contain only the permutations [1, 2] and [2, 1], and a single comparison between the charge levels of two adjacent flash memory cells is required to find the permutation. We will conveniently associate the logical value 1 with the permutation [1, 2], and 0 with [2, 1], thus forming a simple mapping between length n binary sequences and permutation sequences from the (1, 2, n)-LRM scheme. It is easily seen that the only two binary sequences not mapped to (1, 2, n)-LRM sequences are the all-ones and all-zeros sequences.

It is interesting to note that in the full rank-modulation scheme of [27], with a group of n cells we store an order of $\log_2 n$ bits per cell, require about $\log_2 n$ comparisons per cell to read the permutation, and comparisons with n - 1 cells for a single "push-to-the-top" operation. In contrast, in the (1,2,n)-LRM scheme we store about 1 bit per cell, require just 1 comparison per cell for reading, and perform comparisons with 2 cells for a "push-to-the-top" operation.

B. Gray Codes for (1, 2, n)-LRM

Generally speaking, a *Gray code*, G, is a sequence of distinct states (codewords), $G = g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{N-1}$, from an ambient state space, $g_i \in S$, such that adjacent states in the sequence differ by a "small" change. What constitutes a "small" change usually depends on the code's application.

Since we are interested in building Gray codes for flash memory devices with the (1,2,n)-LRM scheme, our ambient space, which we denote as S(n), is the set of all realizable sequences under (1,2,n)-LRM. This is simply the set of all the binary sequences of length n, excluding the all-ones and all-zeros sequences, i.e.,

$$S = S(n) = \{0, 1\}^n - \{0^n, 1^n\}.$$

Each of the codewords, $g_i \in G$, is a string of n bits which we shall denote as $g_i = g_{i,0}, g_{i,1}, \ldots, g_{i,n-1}$. Throughout the paper we will assume the index j in $g_{i,j}$ is taken modulo n, and when appropriate, the index i is taken modulo N.

The transition between adjacent states in the Gray code is directly motivated by the flash memory application, and was previously described and used in [27]. This transition is the "push-to-the-top" operation, which takes a single flash cell and raises its charge level above all others.

In our case, however, since we are considering a *local* rank-modulation scheme, the "push-to-the-top" operation merely raises the charge level of the selected cell above those cells which are comparable with it. As the window size is t = 2, these cells are the ones directly before and after the selected cell. Thus, we define the set of allowed transitions



Fig. 2. Example of a local "push-to-the-top" operation in a (1, 2, 6)-LRM scheme. The snapshot (a) presents the system before the change, while (b) presents the system after the change, which locally pushed c_1 above c_0 and c_2 , thus changing the first two bits of the demodulated sequence.

as $T = \{\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}\}$, which is a set of functions, $\tau_j : S \to S$, where τ_j represents a "push-to-the-top" operation performed on the *j*-th cell. If $v = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{n-1} \in S(n)$, then $v' = v'_0 v'_1 \dots v'_{n-1} = \tau_j(v)$ if

$$v'_{k} = \begin{cases} 0 & k = j \\ 1 & k \equiv j+1 \pmod{n} \\ v_{k} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Loosely speaking, a transition is made by selecting a window of size 2 in the original codeword, and overwriting it with 01. See Fig. 2 for an example.

Definition 1: A Gray code, G, for (1, 2, n)-LRM (denoted (1, 2, n)-LRMGC) is a sequence of distinct length-n binary codewords, $G = g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{N-1}$, where $g_i \in S(n)$. For all $0 \leq i \leq N-2$, we further require that $g_{i+1} = \tau_j(g_i)$ for some j. If $g_0 = \tau_j(g_{N-1})$ for some j, then we say the code is cyclic. We call N the size of the code, and say G is optimal if $N = 2^n - 2 = |S(n)|$.

When we perform a "push-to-the-top" operation on the *j*-th cell, let us denote its initial charge level as c_j , and its resulting charge level as c'_j . We set c'_j to max $\{c_{j-1}, c_{j+1}\} + \delta$, where $\delta > 0$. Two important issues of concern are the difference in charge levels involved in a "push-to-the-top" operation, and cell saturation. In the former, the higher $c'_j - c_j$ is, the more risk of

disturbing neighboring cells, while in the latter, the higher we set c'_j , the less number of updates to the cell before it saturates. Both concerns benefit from a value of δ as low as possible. Let us assume that a limited resolution exists and thus δ is bounded from below by a constant, which w.l.o.g., we can assume is 1 (after a proper scaling).

Let us now assume an optimal setting in which a "push-to-thetop" operation on the *j*-th cell sets $c'_j = \max\{c_{j-1}, c_{j+1}\} + 1$. A general (1, 2, n)-LRMGC may result in $c'_j - c_j$ exponential in *n*, for some transition from g_i to g_{i+1} . The same motivation in the case of (n, n, n)-LRM was discussed in [27], where a balanced variant of Gray codes was constructed to avoid the problem. We present a different variant of Gray codes to address the same issue.

First, for any binary string $v = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{n-1}$, we call the number of 1's in v the *weight* of v and denote it as wt(v). We also denote by S(n, w) the set of length-n binary strings of weight w. We now define our variant of Gray codes:

Definition 2: A constant-weight Gray code for (1, 2, n)-LRM (denoted (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGC), $G = g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{N-1}$, is a Gray code for (1, 2, n)-LRM for which $g_i \in S(n, w)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$.

Definition 3: Let G be a (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGC of size N. We define the rate of the code as $R(G) = \frac{\log_2 N}{n}$. The efficiency of G is defined as $Eff(G) = N/\binom{n}{w}$. If Eff(G) = 1 then we say G is optimal. If Eff(G) = 1 - o(1), where o(1) denotes a function that tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$, then we say G is asymptotically optimal.

The transitions between adjacent states in the constant-weight variant take on a very simple form: a window of size 2 in g_i which contains 10 is transformed in g_{i+1} into 01, i.e., "pushing" a logical 1 a single place to the right. Since we are interested in creating cyclic counters, we will be interested in cyclic Gray codes. An example of a cyclic optimal Gray code is given in Table I.

It should be noted that Gray codes with a weaker restriction, allowing a 01 to be changed into 10 and also 10 to be changed back into 01, i.e., a 1 may be pushed either to the right or to the left, have been studied in the past [6], [7], [16], [23], [36].

We can show that under the constant-weight restriction, for any "push-to-the-top" operation

$$c'_j - c_j \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{\max\{w, n - w\}}{\min\{w, n - w\}} \right\rceil.$$

This is done by first assuming $2w \leq n$, or else we flip all the bits and reverse the codewords. We will only use integer charge levels, and thus for any codeword, g_i , wt $(g_i) = w$, we can find a realization by setting $c_{j+1} - c_j = 1$ if $g_{i,j} = 0$, and $c_{j+1} - c_j = -[(n-w)/w]$ if $g_{i,j} = 1$, where [·] denotes either $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ or $\lceil \cdot \rceil$.

It is now easily shown by induction that a "push-to-the-top" operation on the *j*-th cell preserves charge-level differences between adjacent cells and only rearranges their order: by the induction hypothesis, initially we have $c_i - c_{j-1} = -[(n-w)/w]$

TABLE ICYCLIC OPTIMAL (1, 2, 5; 2)-LRMGC(THE CHANGED POSITIONS ARE UNDERLINED) $\underline{1}$ $\underline{1}$ 00

1	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	0	0
0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	0	<u>1</u>	1	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	1
<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	0	0	1

and $c_{j+1} - c_j = 1$. The "push-to-the-top" operation sets $c'_j = \max \{c_{j-1}, c_{j+1}\} + 1 = c_{j-1} + 1$ and then $c'_j - c_{j-1} = 1$ and $c_{j+1} - c'_j = -[(n-w)/w]$.

III. ASYMPTOTICALLY-CONSTANT-RATE CODES

In this section, we construct codes with rates asymptotically tending to 1, and weight asymptotically half the length, thus having asymptotically-optimal charge difference between adjacent cells. Our construction has the following intuitive flavor. We start by partitioning the n flash cells into about \sqrt{n} blocks, each block of size about \sqrt{n} , treating each block of cells as a single character in a large alphabet, say $\{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}$ for $t \simeq 2^{\sqrt{n}}$. Roughly speaking, by this operation, we have reduced the problem of finding a Gray code over $\{0,1\}^n$ into an *outer* Gray-like code over $\{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}^{\sqrt{n}}$. Several Gray codes of rate 1 exist over large alphabets, however, not any outer code will suffice in our setting. Primarily, it is crucial that we may move from state to state in the outer code using our elementary pairwise "push-to-the-top" operations. Moreover, in doing so, we must guarantee that flash cell values obtained between a single representation of the outer codeword and its successor are unique. We achieve these goals using an outer Gray code based on de-Bruijn sequences. In such codes, the location of the character that changes between subsequent codewords over goes a cyclic shift. This cyclic location change between subsequent codewords lends itself very naturally to our cyclic "push-to-the-top" operations. Combining this with additional ideas, that guarantee distinct cell values (of constant weight) in transition between outer codewords, we obtain our construction.

Construction 1: Fix a positive integer k. Let $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{t-1}\}$ be a set of t distinct binary vectors of length m + 2 and weight w + 2 such that the first and last bit of each v_i is 1. We also denote $L = \text{lcm}(k + 2, t^k)$.

The next required ingredient in the construction is a de-Bruijn sequence of order k over the alphabet $\{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}$. The sequence is of period t^k and we denote it by $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{t^k-1}$. We remind the reader that windows of size k in the sequence, i.e., $s_i, s_{i+1}, \ldots, s_{i+k-1}$, with indices taken modulo t^k , are all distinct. Such sequences can always be constructed (for example, see [21]).

We now construct the sequence $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{L-1}$ of L binary vectors of length (k+2)(m+2) and weight (k+1)(w+2).

Each vector is formed by a concatenation of k + 2 blocks of length m + 2 as follows:

$g_0 =$	v_{s_k}	$v_{s_{k-1}}$	• • •	v_{s_1}	v_{s_0}	0
$g_1 =$	v_{s_k}	$v_{s_{k-1}}$	• • •	v_{s_1}	0	$v_{s_{k+1}}$
$g_2 =$	v_{s_k}	$v_{s_{k-1}}$	•••	0	$v_{s_{k+2}}$	$v_{s_{k+1}}$
			÷			
$g_k =$	v_{s_k}	0	• • •	$v_{s_{k+3}}$	$v_{s_{k+2}}$	$v_{s_{k+1}}$
$g_{k+1} =$	0	$v_{s_{2k+1}}$	•••	$v_{s_{k+3}}$	$v_{s_{k+2}}$	$v_{s_{k+1}}$
$g_{k+2} =$	$v_{s_{2k+2}}$	$v_{s_{2k+1}}$	•••	$v_{s_{k+3}}$	$v_{s_{k+2}}$	0
$g_{k+3} =$	$v_{s_{2k+2}}$	$v_{s_{2k+1}}$	•••	$v_{s_{k+3}}$	0	$v_{s_{2k+3}}$
$g_{k+4} =$	$v_{s_{2k+2}}$	$v_{s_{2k+1}}$	•••	0	$v_{s_{2k+4}}$	$v_{s_{2k+3}}$
			÷			
$g_{2k+2} =$	$v_{s_{2k+2}}$	0	• • •	$v_{s_{2k+5}}$	$v_{s_{2k+4}}$	$v_{s_{2k+3}}$
$g_{2k+3} =$	0	$v_{s_{3k+3}}$	• • •	$v_{s_{2k+5}}$	$v_{s_{2k+4}}$	$v_{s_{2k+3}}$
			÷			
$g_{L-k-2} =$	$v_{s_{L-2}}$	$v_{s_{L-3}}$	• • •	$v_{s_{L-k-1}}$	$v_{s_{L-k-2}}$	0
$g_{L-k-1} =$	$v_{s_{L-2}}$	$v_{s_{L-3}}$	• • •	$v_{s_{L-k-1}}$	0	$v_{s_{L-1}}$
$g_{L-k} =$	$v_{s_{L-2}}$	$v_{s_{L-3}}$	•••	0	v_{s_0}	$v_{s_{L-1}}$
			÷			
$g_{L-2} =$	v_{s_L-2}	0		v_{s_1}	v_{s_0}	$v_{s_{L-1}}$
$g_{L-1} =$	0	$v_{s_{k-1}}$		v_{s_1}	v_{s_0}	$v_{s_{L-1}}$

where **0** denotes the all-zero vector of length m + 2, and the subindices of s are taken modulo t^k .

We call $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{L-1}$ the *anchor vectors*. We note that between anchors g_i and g_{i+1} the block v_{s_i} moves m + 2 positions to the right (with wrap-around) and is changed to the block $v_{s_{i+k+1}}$.

Finally, between any two anchors, g_i and g_{i+1} , a sequence of vectors called *auxiliary vectors* and denoted $g_i^0, g_i^1, \ldots, g_i^{\ell_i}$, is formed in the following way: The only allowed transition is a 10 changed into a 01. First the rightmost 1 in the block v_{s_i} is moved to the right, step by step, to the position of the rightmost 1 in $v_{s_{i+k+1}}$. The process then repeats with a sequence of transitions moving the second-from-right 1 in v_{s_i} to the position of the second-from-right 1 in v_{s_i+k+1} , and so on, until v_{s_i} is moved one block to the right and changed into $v_{s_{i+k+1}}$ (see Example 4). The resulting list of anchor vectors and, in between them, auxiliary vectors, is the constructed code.

Example 4: Let us take a very simple case of k = 1, m = 3, w = 2, and t = 3, with $s_0 = 0$, $s_1 = 1$, and $s_2 = 2$, and then $v_0 = 11101$, $v_1 = 11011$, and $v_2 = 10111$. The list of anchors is

$g_0 =$	11011	11101	00000
$g_1 =$	11011	00000	10111
$g_2 =$	00000	11101	10111

and, for example, the transition between g_0 and g_1 is shown in Table II (the changed positions are underlined).

Theorem 5: The code constructed in Construction 1 is a cyclic (1, 2, (k+2)(m+2); (k+1)(w+2))-LRMGC of size

$$N = L(w+2)(m+2) = \operatorname{lcm}(t^k, k+2) \cdot (w+2) \cdot (m+2).$$

TABLE II

THE TRANSITIONS	BETWEEN	ANCHORS	IN	EXAMPLE 4
THE INAMOTIONS	DEIWEEN	ANCHORS	11.4	LAAMII LL T

$g_0 =$	11011	11101	00000
$g_{0}^{0} =$	11011	11100	10000
$g_0^1 =$	11011	11100	$\overline{01000}$
$g_0^2 =$	11011	11100	$\overline{00}100$
$g_0^3 =$	11011	11100	00010
$g_0^4 =$	11011	11100	00001
$g_0^5 =$	11011	11010	$000\overline{01}$
$g_0^6 =$	11011	11001	00001
$g_0^7 =$	11011	$110\overline{00}$	10001
$g_0^8 =$	11011	11000	<u>01</u> 001
$g_0^9 =$	11011	11000	0 <u>01</u> 01
$g_0^{10} =$	11011	11000	00 <u>01</u> 1
$g_0^{11} =$	11011	1 <u>01</u> 00	00011
$g_0^{12} =$	11011	10 <u>01</u> 0	00011
$g_0^{13} =$	11011	100 <u>01</u>	00011
$g_0^{14} =$	11011	1000 <u>0</u>	<u>1</u> 0011
$g_0^{15} =$	11011	10000	<u>01</u> 011
$g_0^{16} =$	11011	10000	0 <u>01</u> 11
$g_0^{17} =$	11011	<u>01</u> 000	00111
$g_0^{18} =$	11011	0 <u>01</u> 00	00111
$g_0^{19} =$	11011	00 <u>01</u> 0	00111
$g_0^{20} =$	11011	000 <u>01</u>	00111
$\tilde{g}_1 =$	11011	0000 <u>0</u>	<u>1</u> 0111

Proof: That the code contains only valid transitions is evident by the construction method. We need to show that all the constructed codewords are distinct which we do with the following reasoning: consider some constructed codeword g of length (k + 2)(m + 2) and weight (k + 1)(w + 2). Deciding whether g is an anchor is simple, since only anchors have k + 1 blocks beginning and ending with a 1, and the remaining block a **0**. By our choice of L, all anchors are distinct since they contain windows of size k + 1 from a de-Bruijn sequence of order k, each window appearing in $(k + 2)/\gcd(k + 2, t^k)$ distinct cyclic shifts (which are easily distinguishable by the position of the **0** block). It then follows that if g is indeed an anchor it appears only once in the code.

Assume we discover g is an auxiliary vector. Again, by construction, all auxiliary vectors between g_i and g_{i+1} have k fixed blocks. Looking at g, an auxiliary vector, exactly k blocks are of weight w + 2 while the other two blocks have weight strictly below w + 2. The blocks of weight w + 2, by construction, form a window of size k from a de-Bruijn sequence of order k starting at s_i , and so their content and position uniquely identify between which two anchors g lies.

Finally, all the auxiliary vectors between adjacent anchors g_i and g_{i+1} are easily seen to be distinct. Thus, given a codeword g from the constructed code, there is exactly one position in the sequence of generated codewords which equals g, and so all generated codewords are distinct.

To complete the proof we need to calculate the size N. There are exactly L anchors. Given an anchor g_i , the number of steps in the transition to g_{i+1} may be readily verified to be $(w+2)(m+2) + \chi(g_{i+1}) - \chi(g_i)$, where $\chi(\cdot)$ is the first moment function defined in (3). Thus

$$N = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} \left((w+2)(m+2) + \chi(g_{i+1}) - \chi(g_i) \right)$$

= $L(w+2)(m+2)$

as claimed. As a final note, the choice of L is easily seen to ensure the resulting code is cyclic.

We mention in passing that the proof of Theorem 5 hints at efficient encoding and decoding procedures, provided other efficient encoding and decoding procedures exist for de-Bruijn sequences. Examples of such procedures may be found in [33] and [42].

We now turn to show the main claim of the section.

Corollary 6: There exists an infinite family $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of cyclic $(1, 2, n_i; w_i)$ -LRMGCs, $n_{i+1} > n_i$ for all i, for which $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathbb{R}(G_i) = 1$, and $\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{w_i}{n_i} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof: For the code G_i , set w = i, and k = m = 2i (i.e., $n_i = (2i+2)^2$ and $w_i = (2i+1)(i+2)$) and apply Theorem 5 with $t = \binom{2i}{i}$. The size, N_i , of the code G_i , is bounded by

$$\binom{2i}{i}^{2i}(i+2)(2i+2) \le N_i \le \binom{2i}{i}^{2i}(i+2)(2i+2)^2$$

since

$$\binom{2i}{i}^{2i} \leq \operatorname{lcm}\left(\binom{2i}{i}^{2i}, 2i+2\right) \leq \binom{2i}{i}^{2i}(2i+2).$$

It is well known (see for example [32, p. 309]) that for any $0 < \lambda < 1$, assuming $\lambda \ell$ is an integer

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{8\ell\lambda(1-\lambda)}}2^{\ell H(\lambda)} \leqslant \binom{\ell}{\lambda\ell} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\ell\lambda(1-\lambda)}}2^{\ell H(\lambda)}$$

where $H(\cdot)$ is the binary entropy function. Since H(1/2) = 1, it now easily follows that:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \mathcal{R}(G_i) = 1, \qquad \qquad \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{w_i}{n_i} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

If needed, we can achieve lower asymptotic rates by setting $w = \lambda m$ for some rational $0 < \lambda < 1, \lambda \neq 1/2$.

IV. LOW-WEIGHT ANALYSIS AND CONSTRUCTIONS

In this section and the following one, we turn to more theoretical aspects of LRMGCs. While the codes from Construction 1 are practical with asymptotically-optimal rate, their efficiency tends to zero since they cover a polynomially decreasing fraction of the space. We demonstrate the efficiency of LR-MGCs is interesting by studying (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGCs having low weight, $w \leq 3$ (and by flipping bits and reversing strings, for all $w \ge n-3$). In the first trivial case of w = 1, there exists a cyclic optimal code for all n. As we show in this section, the next two cases, namely w = 2, 3, behave radically different: We start with the case of w = 2 in which we show a non-cyclic optimal code always exists, but when adding the requirement that the code be cyclic, no cyclic optimal codes exist and the efficiency of any cyclic code is asymptotically bounded from above by $\frac{3}{4} + o(1)$. In contrast, we will show that for w = 3 we can construct cyclic asymptotically-optimal codes.



Fig. 3. Example of an optimal non-cyclic (1, 2, 7; 2)-LRMGC which results from Construction 2. Solid arrows represent edges which are part of the code path, while dotted arrows represent those that are not.

A. The Case of w = 2

For the case of w = 2 a brute-force approach will suffice. For all $n \ge 2$, let us define the graph \mathcal{G}_n whose vertex set is S(n,2) and an edge $v \to v'$ exists iff $v' = \tau_j(v)$ for some $0 \le j \le n-1$.

Let us restrict ourselves to odd n (since by Theorem 19 cyclic optimal codes may only exist for this case). We will, however, specify which results are also valid for even n. For convenience, we index the vertices in the following way: $v_{k,\ell}$, where $1 \le k \le (n-1)/2$ and $0 \le \ell \le n-1$, denotes the vertex corresponding to the string having 1's in positions ℓ and $\ell+k$, where throughout the section we take the indices modulo n where appropriate. We shall conveniently refer to the first index as the *row* index, and the second index as the *column* index.

Using this indexing method the graph \mathcal{G}_n takes on a simple form for odd $n \ge 5$ (the case n = 3 is more degenerate):

- A vertex of the form $v_{1,\ell}$ has a single outgoing edge to $v_{2,\ell}$.
- A vertex of the form v_{k,ℓ}, 1 < k < (n − 1)/2, has two outgoing edges to v_{k+1,ℓ} and v_{k−1,ℓ+1}.
- A vertex of the form $v_{(n-1)/2,\ell}$ has two outgoing edges to $v_{(n-3)/2,\ell+1}$ and $v_{(n-1)/2,\ell+(n+1)/2}$.

It is now evident that there is a one-to-one correspondence between simple paths in \mathcal{G}_n and Gray codes. A simple construction for an optimal code which is (in general) *not cyclic* is the following.

Construction 2: Let $n \ge 3$ be an odd integer. We construct the following code $G = g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{N-1}$. We first set $g_0 = v_{1,0}$, and then set g_{i+1} as a function of $g_i = v_{k,\ell}$ according to the following rules:

- If k is odd and k < (n-1)/2, then $g_{i+1} = v_{k+1,\ell}$.
- If k is odd and k = (n-1)/2, then $g_{i+1} = v_{k,\ell+(n+1)/2}$.
- If k is even and $\ell < n k/2$, then $g_{i+1} = v_{k-1,\ell+1}$.
- If k is even and $\ell = n k/2$, then $g_{i+1} = v_{k+1,\ell}$.

Theorem 7: The code from Construction 2 is an optimal (1, 2, n; 2)-LRMGC.

Proof: It is readily verifiable that the transitions involved in the construction are all valid. Furthermore, the construction is easily seen to first exhaust rows 2t - 1 and 2t, where $t \ge 1$, by alternating between them, and then moving to rows 2t + 1and 2t + 2. If the number of rows is even, this is enough to cover all the vertices. If the number of rows is odd, then the last row is covered by transitioning along the row. Since gcd((n + 1)/2, n) = 1, (n + 1)/2 is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_n and the transitions along row (n - 1)/2 cover all of it.

An example of Construction 2 is shown in Fig. 3. When n = 3, 5, Construction 2 results in a cyclic code (the case n = 5 was given in Table I).



Fig. 4. Example of a cyclic (1, 2, 11; 2)-LRMGC. Solid arrows represent edges which are part of the up and down paths, and the shaded vertices are those which are guaranteed to remain uncovered in the proof of Theorem 8.

Theorem 8: Let G be a cyclic (1, 2, n; 2)-LRMGC, $n \ge 7$. Then $\text{Eff}(G) \le \frac{3}{4} + o(1)$.

Proof: We will prove the claim for odd n. The proof for even n is essentially the same with a slight difference due to the different structure of the last row of \mathcal{G}_n . Let $G = g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{N-1}$ be cyclic Gray code, and let v_{k_i,ℓ_i} be the vertex corresponding to g_i . We say a vertex $v \in \mathcal{G}_n$ is *covered* if $v = v_{k_i,\ell_i}$ for some $0 \le i \le N - 1$. We now denote by k_{\min} and k_{\max} the smallest and, respectively, largest, row index of vertices covered by the code G.

The code obviously induces a cyclic path in \mathcal{G}_n , and therefore, there exist two subpaths going "up" and "down" rows, $g_u, g_{u+1}, \ldots, g_{u'}$ and $g_d, g_{d+1}, \ldots, g_{d'}$, with the following properties: (indices are taken modulo N where appropriate).

- $k_u = k_{\min}, k_{u'} = k_{\max}$, and for all $0 \le i \le (u' u) \mod N, k_{\min} < k_{u+i} < k_{\max}$.
- $k_d = k_{\max}, k_{d'} = k_{\min}$, and for all $0 \leq i \leq (d' d) \mod N, k_{\min} < k_{d+i} < k_{\max}$.

The two subpaths are obviously vertex disjoint, except perhaps the first and last vertices of the paths. Furthermore, one can easily be convinced, that the two paths do not occupy the same columns, except perhaps the columns of the first and last vertices of the paths. Along the "up" path, let $0 \leq t_{k_{\min}+1}, \ldots, t_{k_{\max}-1} \leq (u'-u) \mod N$ be the unique integers such that g_{u+t_i} is the last vertex along the path at row i, i.e., $k_{u+t_i} = i$ and for all $t_i < j \leq (u'-u) \mod N$, $k_{u+j} > i$. It now follows that for each $k_{\min} < i < k_{\max}$, the vertices

$$\{v_{k_{u+t_i}-1,\ell_{u+t_i}+1}, v_{k_{u+t_i}-2,\ell_{u+t_i}+2}, \dots, v_{k_{\min},\ell_{u+t_i}+k_{u+t_i}-k_{\min}}\}$$

cannot be covered by any of the codewords of G. See an illustration in Fig. 4. The number of such uncovered vertices is exactly $(k_{\text{max}} - k_{\text{min}})(k_{\text{max}} - k_{\text{min}} - 1)/2$.

In addition to the above-mentioned uncovered vertices, all the vertices of rows below k_{\min} and above k_{\max} are left uncovered by definition. Thus, if we denote $\delta = k_{\max} - k_{\min}$, the total number of uncovered vertices is at least

$$n\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-\delta-1\right)+\frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2} \ge \frac{1}{8}(n-3)(n-5)$$

since the minimum is achieved at $\delta = \frac{n-3}{2}$. Therefore, the efficiency of the code G is at most

$$1 - \frac{\frac{1}{8}(n-3)(n-5)}{\binom{n}{2}} = \frac{3}{4} + o(1),$$

as claimed.

While the upper bound on the efficiency presented in Theorem 8 is $\frac{3}{4} + o(1)$, computer search results lead us to conjecture that it actually is o(1).

B. The Case of w = 3

In this section we turn to constructing asymptotically-optimal cyclic (1, 2, n; 3)-LRMGC. The construction will use a method originally used for constructing single-track Gray codes in [17] and later in [38]. In fact, the resulting codes will have the single-track property as well.

If $v = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{n-1}$ is a length *n* word over some alphabet, let *E* denote the *cyclic-shift operator* defined by its action on *v*:

$$Ev = v_{n-1}v_0v_1\ldots v_{n-2}.$$

The orbits under E are called *necklaces*. A necklace is said to be *full period* if the smallest positive integer i such that $E^i v = v$ is i = n. A full-period necklace contains n distinct strings.

We say a Gray code $G = g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{N-1}$ has the *single*track property if in the matrix whose *i*-th row is g_i , all the columns are cyclic shifts of each other. A variant of the following method was suggested in [17] for constructing singletrack Gray codes, and it applies equally-well to our set of allowed transitions.

Lemma 9: Let $G' = g'_0, g'_1, \ldots, g'_{N'-1}$ be a (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGC where $g'_{i+1} = \tau_{j_i}(g'_i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N' - 2$. If the strings in G' are representatives of distinct full-period necklaces, and $E^{\ell}g'_0 = \tau_{j_{N'-1}}g'_{N'-1}$, $gcd(\ell, n) = 1$, then the following is a cyclic single-track Gray code:

$$G = G', E^{\ell}G', E^{2\ell}G', \dots, E^{(n-1)\ell}G'$$

where $E^{j}G' = E^{j}g'_{0}, \dots, E^{j}g'_{N'-1}$.

Proof: First, $E^j G'$ is certainly also a Gray code. Since the necklaces in G' all have full cyclic period and since ℓ generates \mathbb{Z}_n , for $k \not\equiv k' \pmod{n}$ the codes $E^{k\ell}G'$ and $E^{k'\ell}G'$ are disjoint. Finally, it is easy to see that the transition from the last string of $E^{k\ell}G'$ to the first string of $E^{(k+1)\ell}G'$ is valid.

We define the mapping $\psi : S(n,3) \to \mathbb{Z}_n^3$ as follows: for a binary string v of length n and weight 3 with 1's in positions $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < i_2 \leq n-1$, let

$$\psi(v) = (i_1 - i_0, i_2 - i_1, i_0 - i_2)$$

where subtraction is made modulo n. The set $\{\psi(v) \mid v \in S(n,3)\}$ is the set of points $(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ that are on the hyperplane $d_0 + d_1 + d_2 = n$ restricted to $1 \leq d_0, d_1, d_2 \leq n-2$. We call $\psi(v)$ the *configuration* of v. We note that if gcd(n,3) = 1, then S(n,3) contains only full-period strings, and otherwise, all strings are full-period except those with configuration (n/3, n/3, n/3). We denote by $S^*(n,3)$ the set of full-period strings from S(n,3).

Since $\psi(v)$, $E\psi(v)$, and $E^2\psi(v)$, (corresponding to a cyclic rotation of the axes of \mathbb{Z}^3), represent strings from the same necklace, for any $v \in S^*(n,3)$, let $\psi'(v)$ stand for the unique $(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \{\psi(v), E\psi(v), E^2\psi(v)\}$ for which $d_1 \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ and $d_2 > \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$. Thus, there is a simple one-to-one mapping

(1,1,n-2) (1,1,n-2) (1,1,n-2) (1,1,n-2) (1,1,n-2,1)(1,n-2,1)

 d_2

Fig. 5. Hyperplane of configurations for n = 13. The set of canonical configurations is shown surrounded by a thick frame.

from $\{\psi'(v) \mid v \in S^*(n,3)\}$ to the set of full-period necklaces. We call $\psi'(v)$ the *canonical configuration* of v.

A simple counting reveals that there are a total of $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$ configurations, and when gcd(n,3) = 1 there are $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{3}$ canonical configurations which is exactly the number of weight-3 full-period necklaces. When $gcd(n,3) \neq 1$, there are $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)-2}{6}$ canonical configurations. See Fig. 5 for an illustration.

Lemma 10: Let $\Delta = (d_0, d_1, d_2)$ be a canonical configuration, and assume

$$\Delta' \in \{ (d_0 + 1, d_1 - 1, d_2) \\ (d_0, d_1 + 1, d_2 - 1) \\ (d_0 - 1, d_1, d_2 + 1) \}$$

is also a canonical configuration. Then for any $v \in S^*(n,3)$ such that $\psi'(v) = \Delta$ there exists $v' \in S^*(n,3)$ such that $\psi'(v') = \Delta'$ and $v' = \tau_j(v)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Proof: Assume $\Delta' = (d_0 + 1, d_1 - 1, d_2)$ is a canonical configuration (the proof for the two other cases is similar). Let $v \in S^*(n,3)$ be such that $\psi'(v) = \Delta$, i.e., there exists some $0 \le i \le n-1$ such that the 1's in v occur in positions $i, i+d_0$, and $i + d_0 + d_1$ (all taken modulo n). It is easily verified that $v' = \tau_{i+d_0}(v)$ has canonical configuration Δ' .

We now intend to find a long cycle over canonical configurations which, by Lemma 10, will result in a Gray code of representatives of distinct full-period necklaces. The latter will be used with Lemma 9 to generate a cyclic (1, 2, n; 3)-LRMGC.

Construction 3: Let $n \ge 9$ be an integer. We construct the following sequence of canonical configurations $\Gamma = \Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{N'-1}$. We first set $\Delta_0 = (1, 1, n - 2)$, and then set Δ_{i+1} as a function of $\Delta_i = (d_0, d_1, d_2)$ according to the following rules:

- If $d_0 = 1$ and $d_1 < 3 \lfloor \lfloor n/3 \rfloor / 3 \rfloor$, then set $\Delta_{i+1} = (d_0, d_1 + 1, d_2 1)$.
- Else, if $d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then set $\Delta_{i+1} = (d_0 + 1, d_1 1, d_2)$.



Fig. 6. Path from Construction 3 over the canonical configurations for n = 22. The unvisited configurations are shown surrounded by a thick frame.

- Else, if $d_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $d_2 > \lfloor n/3 \rfloor + 1$, then set $\Delta_{i+1} = (d_0, d_1 + 1, d_2 1)$.
- Else, if $d_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $d_2 = \lfloor n/3 \rfloor + 1$ and $d_1 > 1$, then set $\Delta_{i+1} = (d_0 + 1, d_1 - 1, d_2)$.
- Else, if $d_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $d_0 > 2$, then set $\Delta_{i+1} = (d_0 1, d_1, d_2 + 1)$.
- To complete the cycle, if $\Delta_i = (1, 2, n 3)$, then set $\Delta_{i+1} = (1, 1, n 2)$.

An illustration of the path from Construction 3 is shown in Fig. 6.

Lemma 11: The path from Construction 3 visits only canonical configurations, each visited no more than once.

Proof: Going over all the transitions in Construction 3 one can verify that they visit only canonical configurations. Except for configurations of the form $(1, d_1, d_2)$ which are part of path of increasing d_1 , the rest of the path is divided according to $d_1 \mod 3$: when $d_1 \equiv 2, 0 \pmod{3}$ the path zigzags "downward", and goes back "up" when $d_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (see Fig. 6). This path structure ensures no vertex is visited more than once in a cycle.

Lemma 12: The length N' of the path from Construction 3 is given by

$$N'(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2 - 5n + 18}{6} & n \equiv 0 \pmod{9} \\ \frac{n^2 - 5n + 22}{6} & n \equiv 1 \pmod{9} \\ \frac{n^2 - 5n + 24}{6} & n \equiv 2 \pmod{9} \\ \frac{n^2 - 7n + 30}{6} & n \equiv 3 \pmod{9} \\ \frac{n^2 - 7n + 30}{6} & n \equiv 4 \pmod{9} \\ \frac{n^2 - 7n + 28}{6} & n \equiv 5 \pmod{9} \\ \frac{n^2 - 9n + 36}{6} & n \equiv 6 \pmod{9} \\ \frac{n^2 - 9n + 32}{6} & n \equiv 7 \pmod{9} \\ \frac{n^2 - 9n + 32}{6} & n \equiv 8 \pmod{9} \end{cases}$$

Proof: The path length depends on the number of times it zigzags "downward" which is $\lfloor \lfloor n/3 \rfloor / 3 \rfloor$. The rest is a careful and tedious counting.

Lemma 13: Let $G' = g'_0, g'_1, \ldots, g'_{N'-1}$ be a list of strings from $S^*(n,3)$ (whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 10) such that $\Gamma = \psi'(g'_0), \psi'(g'_1), \ldots, \psi'(g'_{N'-1})$ is the cyclic path from Construction 3. Let g^* be the string (whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 10) such that $\psi'(g^*) = \psi'(g'_0)$ and $g^* = \tau_j(g'_{N'-1})$. Then $g^* = E^{N'/3}g'_0$.

Proof: Let us examine g'_i for some *i* and suppose we could distinguish between the three 1's in g'_i by coloring them red, blue, and green. If $\psi'(g'_i) = (d_0, d_1, d_2)$, assume w.l.o.g., that d_0 is the distance between the red and blue 1's, d_1 between the blue and green 1's, and d_2 between the green and red 1's. If $\psi'(g'_{i+1}) = (d'_0, d'_1, d'_2)$, then a careful reading of Lemma 10 shows that in g'_{i+1} , d'_0 is again the distance between the red and blue 1's, d'_1 between the specific provides the green and red 1's.

Since $\psi'(g^*) = \psi'(g'_0)$ it follows that g^* is a cyclic shift of g'_0 . By the previous argument, to get from g'_0 to g^* , all the 1's had to be pushed an equal number of times to the right and so $g^* = E^{N'/3}g'_0$.

The following is the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 14: For all $n \ge 9$ such that gcd(n, N'(n)/3) = 1, where N'(n) is given by (2), there exists a cyclic (1, 2, n; 3)-LRMGC of size $N = n \cdot N'(n)$, which is also single-track.

Proof: By Lemma 10, let $G' = g'_0, g'_1, \ldots, g'_{N'-1}$ be a list of strings from $S^*(n,3)$ such that $\Gamma = \psi'(g'_0), \psi'(g'_1), \ldots, \psi'(g'_{N'-1})$ is the cyclic path from Construction 3. By Lemma 12, N' = N'(n) from (2). According to Lemma 11, Γ contains distinct canonical configurations, and so G' contains representatives of distinct full-period necklaces. Finally, by combining Lemma 13 with the requirement that gcd(n, N'(n)/3) = 1, we can use Lemma 9 to construct the desired code.

Lemma 15: There are infinite values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which gcd(n, N'(n)/3) = 1. More specifically, it suffices that n satisfies one of the following:

- $n \equiv 7, 11 \pmod{18}$
- $n \equiv 13, 31, 49, 67 \pmod{90}$
- $n \equiv 5, 23, 41, 59, 95, 113 \pmod{126}$
- $n \equiv 1, 19, 37, 73, 91, 109, 127, 145, 163, 181 \pmod{198}$
- $n \equiv 17, 35, 53, 71, 89, 107, 125, 161, 179, 197, 215, 233 \pmod{234}$

Proof: We will prove one of the cases and the rest are similar. Assume $n \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$. By Lemma 12, we need

$$\gcd\left(n, \frac{n^2 - 7n + 30}{18}\right) = 1.$$

Since gcd(a, b) divides any integer combination of a and b, and since

$$18 \cdot \frac{n^2 - 7n + 30}{18} - (n - 7) \cdot n = 30$$

it follows that

$$\left| \gcd\left(n, \frac{n^2 - 7n + 30}{18}\right) \right| 30.$$

Thus, if we could only make sure that gcd(n, 30) = 1 the claim would necessarily follow. Combining gcd(n, 30) = 1 and $n \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$, we get that $n \equiv 13, 31, 49, 67 \pmod{90}$ is sufficient to prove the claim.

We note that the conditions described in Lemma 15 are not the only cases in which gcd(n, N'(n)/3) = 1, but are just the ones easy to derive. For instance, when n = 27, we have gcd(n, N'(n)/3) = gcd(27, 34) = 1.

Corollary 16: There exists an infinite family $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of cyclic $(1, 2, n_i; 3)$ -LRMGCs, $n_{i+1} > n_i$ for all i, for which $\lim_{i\to\infty} \text{Eff}(G_i) = 1$.

Proof: Simply combine Lemma 15 with the fact that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \cdot N'(n)}{\binom{n}{3}} = 1.$$

On a final note, the codes from Theorem 14 turn out to be optimal in the cases of n = 10,11 with sizes N = 120,165, respectively.

V. NECESSARY CONDITIONS

We conclude the theoretical analysis of LRMGCs with some bounds on the code parameters. We first present a simple necessary condition for the existence of a cyclic Gray code, and then expand it in the case of cyclic optimal codes. We use a coloring argument in the following way: We color the words in S(n, w) using n colors. We then show that in a cyclic Gray code all colors appear, and do so in equal amounts. We then follow with an analysis of the distribution of colors in S(n, w), showing that in many cases they are not equally distributed, and hence no cyclic optimal code exists.

Definition 17: For any $v = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{n-1} \in S(n, w)$, we define the first moment of v as

$$\chi(v) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j \cdot v_j \tag{3}$$

and the *color* of v as $\chi(v) \mod n$.

Theorem 18: Let G be a cyclic (1,2,n;w)-LRMGC of size N. Then n|N.

Proof: If $v, v' \in S(n, w)$ and $v' = \tau_j(v)$ for some j, then it easily follows that $\chi(v') \equiv \chi(v) + 1 \pmod{n}$. Let us now denote $G = g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{N-1}$. By the previous argument, $i \equiv i' \pmod{n}$ iff $\chi(g_i) \equiv \chi(g_{i'}) \pmod{n}$. Since the code is cyclic, necessarily $N \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

We can use Theorem 18 to rule out the existence of cyclic optimal codes in certain cases.

Theorem 19: If w is a prime, then there are no cyclic optimal (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGC for which $gcd(n, w) \neq 1$.

Proof: By the assumptions, necessarily gcd(n, w) = w, and so w|n. For any $a, p \in \mathbb{N}$, p prime, let us denote by a_p the exponent of p in the factorization of a. We can see that $N = \binom{n}{w} = \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-w+1)}{w!}$ and therefore $N_w = n_w - 1$. But then $n \nmid N$ as required by Theorem 18.

The divisibility condition set in Theorem 18 is not strong enough. For example, if we take n = 12 and w = 6, then indeed $12|\binom{12}{6}$, and the possible existence of a cyclic optimal code with these parameters is not ruled out. However, by the conditions described in Corollary 20 and Lemma 21 it is ruled out.

Corollary 20: If a cyclic optimal (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGC exists, then there are exactly $\binom{n}{w}/n$ strings of each color in S(n, w).

Proof: By Theorem 18 we have $n | \binom{n}{w}$. Furthermore, by the proof of that theorem the code contains an equal number of codewords of each color. Since the code is optimal, i.e., covers all the strings of S(n, w), the claim follows.

To be able to use the last corollary we count the exact number of strings of each color in S(n, w). Though a solution may be deduced from a related theorem due to von Sterneck (see [15, Ch. II]), we describe a cleaner self-contained solution, which is an extension of Sloane's method in [40]. In the following, let

$$A_n(j,k) = |\{v \in S(n,w) \mid \chi(v) \equiv j, k \equiv n - w \pmod{n}\}|$$

for all $0 \le j, k \le n - 1$. Also, let ϕ stand for Euler's totient function, and μ stand for the Möbius function.

Lemma 21: The number of strings from S(n, w), $1 \le w \le n-1$, of color $0 \le a \le n-1$, is given by

$$A_n(a,n-w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{d|n\\d|w}} (-1)^{\frac{w(d+1)}{d}} \phi(d) \frac{\mu(\frac{d}{\gcd(d,a)})}{\phi(\frac{d}{\gcd(d,a)})} \binom{n/d}{w/d}.$$

Proof: We define the following generating function:

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_n(j,k) x^j y^k$$

An important observation that follows from the definition of $A_n(j,k)$ is that

$$f(x,y) = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (x^m + y) \mod \langle x^n - 1, y^n - 1 \rangle.$$

Let $\xi = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} \in \mathbb{C}$ be an *n*-th complex root of unity, then

$$f(\xi^{j},\xi^{k}) = \sum_{j'=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k'=0}^{n-1} A_{n}(j',k')\xi^{j'j}\xi^{k'k}.$$

Using the inverse two-dimensional discrete Fourier transform, we get

$$A_n(j,k) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j'=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k'=0}^{n-1} f(\xi^{j'},\xi^{k'})\xi^{-j'j}\xi^{-k'k}.$$
 (4)

Let us denote g = gcd(n, j'). We can directly calculate

$$\begin{split} f(\xi^{j'},\xi^{k'}) &= \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (\xi^{k'} + \xi^{mj'}) \\ &= (-1)^n \prod_{m=0}^{\frac{n}{g}-1} \left(-\xi^{k'} - \xi^{mj'}\right)^g \\ &= (-1)^n \left(\left(-\xi^{k'}\right)^{\frac{n}{g}} - 1\right)^g \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^g \binom{g}{m} (-1)^{(g-m)(\frac{n}{g}+1)} \xi^{k'm\frac{n}{g}} \end{split}$$

where the third equality follows from the well-known fact that $\prod_{i=0}^{n} (z - \xi^i) = z^n - 1$. It now follows that:

$$\sum_{k'=0}^{n-1} f(\xi^{j'}, \xi^{k'}) \xi^{-k'k} =$$

=
$$\sum_{m=0}^{g} {g \choose m} (-1)^{(g-m)(\frac{n}{g}+1)} \sum_{k'=0}^{n-1} \xi^{k'(m\frac{n}{g}-k)}.$$
 (5)

Since we are interested only in $1 \le k \le n-1$, it follows that $-(n-1) \le k - m\frac{n}{a} \le n-1$, and therefore

$$\sum_{k'=0}^{n-1} \xi^{k'(m\frac{n}{g}-k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & k \neq m\frac{n}{g} \\ n & k = m\frac{n}{g} \end{cases}$$

Substituting back into (5), we get for all $1 \le k \le n-1$

$$\sum_{k'=0}^{n-1} f(\xi^{j'}, \xi^{k'}) \xi^{-k'k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \frac{n}{g} \neq k \\ (-1)^{(g-k\frac{g}{n})(\frac{n}{g}+1)} {g \choose kg/n} n & \frac{n}{g} \mid k. \end{cases}$$

We again substitute the result back into (4) and summing by divisors of both n and k, we get

$$A_n(j,k) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d|k}} (-1)^{\frac{(n-k)(d+1)}{d}} \binom{n/d}{k/d} n \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ \gcd(m,d)=1}}^d \xi^{-jm}.$$

The inner sum is a Ramanujan sum (see [1]) which equals

$$\sum_{\substack{m=1\\ \mathrm{d}(m,d)=1}}^{d} \xi^{-jm} = \phi(d) \frac{\mu(\frac{d}{\gcd(d,j)})}{\phi(\frac{d}{\gcd(d,j)})}$$

thus getting

gco

$$A_{n}(j,k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \mid k}} (-1)^{\frac{(n-k)(d+1)}{d}} \phi(d) \frac{\mu(\frac{d}{\gcd(d,j)})}{\phi(\frac{d}{\gcd(d,j)})} \binom{n/d}{k/d}.$$

A simple rewriting of the last expression gives the desired result.

Returning to the previous example of n = 12 and w = 6 we can now use Lemma 21 to find that there are $A_{12}(0, 12-6) = 76$ words in S(12, 6) colored 0, while there are $A_{12}(1, 12-6) = 78$ words colored 1. Thus, by Corollary 20 no optimal cyclic

code is possible. The following theorem may be thought of as an extension of Theorem 19 to the case of w not a prime.

Theorem 22: For any $w \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n_0(w) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0(w)$, there is no cyclic optimal (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGCs unless gcd(n, w) = 1.

Proof: Fix a weight w. We will show that there exists $n_0(w)$ such that for all $n > n_0(w)$, $gcd(n, w) \neq 1$, there is no cyclic optimal (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGC. We will do so by showing that $A_n(0, n - w) \neq A_n(1, n - w)$.

Let $p \ge 2$ denote the smallest prime number such that $p | \gcd(n, w) \ne 1$. We shall also need the fact that

$$\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a}{b} \binom{a-1}{b-1}.$$

Now

Т

$$A_{n}(0, n - w) - A_{n}(1, n - w) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \mid w}} (-1)^{\frac{w(d+1)}{d}} (\phi(d) - \mu(d)) \binom{n/d}{w/d}$$

$$= (-1)^{w(p+1)/p} \cdot \frac{p}{n} \binom{n/p}{w/p} +$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(n,w) \\ d > p}} (-1)^{\frac{w(d+1)}{d}} (\phi(d) - \mu(d)) \binom{n/d}{w/d}$$

We shall proceed to show that, for large enough n

$$p\binom{n/p}{w/p} > \left| \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(n,w) \\ d > p}} (-1)^{\frac{w(d+1)}{d}} (\phi(d) - \mu(d)) \binom{n/d}{w/d} \right|$$

which will prove our claim. Indeed, set $n_0 = \frac{w^3}{2}$, and then for all $n > n_0$

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(n,w) \\ d > p}} (-1)^{\frac{w(d+1)}{d}} (\phi(d) - \mu(d)) \binom{n/d}{w/d} \right| \leq \\ \leq \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(n,w) \\ d > p}} (\phi(d) - \mu(d)) \binom{n/d}{w/d} \\ \leq \sum_{\substack{d \mid g \neq d(n,w) \\ d > p}} w \binom{n/p - 1}{w/p - 1} = \frac{w^3}{n} \binom{n/p}{w/p} \\ < 2\binom{n/p}{w/p} \leq p\binom{n/p}{w/p} \end{cases}$$

as we claimed.

It should be noted that a more careful analysis can reduce the value of n_0 in the proof of Theorem 22. We also observe that when gcd(n, w) = 1, all strings of length n and weight w have full cyclic period. If $v, v' \in S(n, w)$ and v' is a cyclic shift to the right of v, then $\chi(v') \equiv \chi(v) + w \pmod{n}$. The fact that gcd(n, w) = 1 also implies that w is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_n , and so for every string $v \in S(n, w)$, its n cyclic shifts are all distinctly colored. Thus, S(n, w) has an equal number of strings from each

color and the arguments used in the previous theorems will not rule out the existence of cyclic optimal codes.

VI. CONCLUSION

We presented the general framework of (s,t,n)-local rank modulation and focused on the specific case of (1,2,n)-LRM which is both the least-hardware-intensive, and the simplest one to translate between binary strings and permutations. We studied constant-weight Gray codes for this scheme, which guarantee a bounded charge difference in any "push-to-the-top" operation. The Gray codes are used to simulate a conventional multilevel flash cell.

We started with a construction, where by letting w be approximately n/2 we obtained cyclic (1,2,n;w)-LRMGCs whose rate approaches 1.

We then turned to consider the existence of codes covering an asymptotically-constant fraction of the space by considering test cases of codes with low weight. While cyclic optimal Gray codes exist (trivially) for w = 1, we showed that for w = 2 their efficiency is upper bounded by $\frac{3}{4} + o(1)$. In contrast, for w =3 asymptotically-optimal codes exist with efficiency 1 - o(1). The codes we constructed also come with a relatively simple updating algorithm.

Finally, using coloring and counting arguments we derived necessary conditions for the existence of cyclic and cyclic optimal (1,2,n;w)-LRMGCs.

Several open questions still remain. For the case of (1, 2, n; w)-LRMGCs, a general construction is missing for constant weights $w \ge 4$. We also conjecture, based on computer search results, that for w = 2 and n large enough, the size of cyclic codes is at most 2n, hence, with efficiency actually o(1). Of more general interest is the study of codes for general (s, t, n)-LRM and their parameters.

REFERENCES

- T. M. Apostol, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [2] T. Berger, F. Jelinek, and J. K. Wolf, "Permutation codes for sources," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-18, no. 1, pp. 160–169, Jan. 1972.
- [3] I. F. Blake, "Permutation codes for discrete channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-20, pp. 138–140, 1974.
- [4] I. F. Blake, G. Cohen, and M. Deza, "Coding with permutations," *Inf. and Control*, vol. 43, pp. 1–19, 1979.
- [5] V. Bohossian, A. Jiang, and J. Bruck, "Buffer coding for asymmetric multi-level memory," in *Proc. 2007 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory (ISIT2007)*, Nice, France, Jun. 2007, pp. 1186–1190.
- [6] M. Buck and D. Wiedemann, "Gray codes with restricted density," *Discrete Math.*, vol. 48, pp. 163–181, 1984.
- [7] M. Carkeet and P. Eades, "A subset generation algorithm with a very strong minimal change property," *Congr. Numerantium*, vol. 47, pp. 139–143, 1985.
- [8] H. Chadwick and I. Reed, "The equivalence of rank permutation codes to a new class of binary codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 640–641, 1970.
- [9] H. D. Chadwick and L. Kurz, "Rank permutation group codes based on Kendall's correlation statistic," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-15, no. 2, pp. 306–315, Mar. 1969.
- [10] C. C. Chang, H. Y. Chen, and C. Y. Chen, "Symbolic Gray code as a data allocation scheme for two-disc systems," *Comput. J.*, vol. 35, pp. 299–305, 1992.
- [11] M. Chen and K. G. Shin, "Subcube allocation and task migration in hypercube machines," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. 39, pp. 1146–1155, 1990.
- [12] G. Cohen and M. Deza, "Decoding of permutation codes," in *Int. CNRS Collog.*, France, Jul. 1977.

- [13] M. Deza and P. Frankl, "On maximal numbers of permutations with given maximal or minimal distance," J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, vol. 22, 1977.
- [14] P. Diaconis and S. Holmes, "Gray codes for randomization procedures," *Stat. Comput.*, vol. 4, pp. 287–302, 1994.
- [15] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*. New York: Chelsea, 1952, vol. 2.
- [16] P. Eades, M. Hickey, and R. C. Read, "Some Hamiltonian paths and minimal change algorithms," J. ACM, vol. 31, pp. 19–29, 1984.
- [17] T. Etzion and K. G. Paterson, "Near optimal single-track Gray codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 779–789, May 1996.
- [18] C. Faloutsos, "Gray codes for partial match and range queries," *IEEE Trans. Software Eng.*, vol. 14, pp. 1381–1393, 1988.
- [19] H. C. Ferreira, A. J. H. Vinck, T. G. Swart, and I. de Beer, "Permutation trellis codes," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 1782–1789, Nov. 2005.
- [20] M. Gardner, "The curious properties of the Gray code and how it can be used to solve puzzles," *Scientif. Amer.*, vol. 227, pp. 106–109, 1972.
- [21] S. W. Golomb, Shift Register Sequences. San Francisco, CA: Holden-Day, 1967.
- [22] F. Gray, "Pulse Code Communication," U.S. 2632058, Mar. 1953.
- [23] T. Hough and F. Ruskey, "An efficient implementation of the Eades, Hickey, Read adjacent interchange combination generation algorithm," *J. Comb. Math. and Comb. Comp.*, vol. 4, pp. 79–86, 1988.
- [24] A. Jiang, V. Bohossian, and J. Bruck, "Floating codes for joint information storage in write asymmetric memories," in *Proc. 2007 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory (ISIT2007)*, Nice, France, Jun. 2007, pp. 1166–1170.
- [25] A. Jiang and J. Bruck, "Joint coding for flash memory storage," in *Proc.* 2008 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory (ISIT2008), Toronto, ON, Canada, Jul. 2008, pp. 1741–1745.
- [26] A. Jiang, M. Langberg, M. Schwartz, and J. Bruck, "Universal rewriting in constrained memories," in *Proc. 2009 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory (ISIT2009)*, Seoul, Korea, Jun. 2009, pp. 1219–1223.
- [27] A. Jiang, R. Mateescu, M. Schwartz, and J. Bruck, "Rank modulation for flash memories," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 2659–2673, Jun. 2009.
- [28] A. Jiang, M. Schwartz, and J. Bruck, "Correcting charge-constrained errors in the rank-modulation scheme," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 2112–2120, May 2010.
- [29] C. A. Laisant, "Sur la numération factorielle, application aux permutations," Bull. de la Société Mathématiq. de France, vol. 16, pp. 176–183.
- [30] R. M. Losee, "A Gray code based ordering for documents on shelves: Classification for browsing and retrieval," J. Amer. Soc. Inf. Sci., vol. 43, pp. 312–322, 1992.
- [31] J. M. Ludman, "Gray codes generation for MPSK signals," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. COM-29, pp. 1519–1522, 1981.
- [32] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North-Holland, 1978.
- [33] C. J. Mitchell, T. Etzion, and K. G. Paterson, "A method for constructing decodable de Bruijn sequences," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-42, no. 5, pp. 1472–1478, Sep. 1996.
- [34] D. Richards, "Data compression and Gray-code sorting," Inf. Process. Lett., vol. 22, pp. 201–205, 1986.
- [35] J. Robinson and M. Cohn, "Counting sequences," IEEE Trans. Comput., vol. C-30, no. 1, pp. 17–23, Jan. 1981.
- [36] F. Ruskey, "Adjacent interchange generation of combinations," J. Algorith., vol. 9, pp. 162–180, 1988.
- [37] C. D. Savage, "A survey of combinatorial Gray codes," *SIAM Rev.*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 605–629, Dec. 1997.
- [38] M. Schwartz and T. Etzion, "The structure of single-track Gray codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 2383–2396, Nov. 1999.
 [39] D. Slepian, "Permutation modulation," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp.
- [39] D. Slepian, "Permutation modulation," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 228–236, 1965.
- [40] N. J. A. Sloane, "On single-deletion-correcting codes," in *Codes and Designs, Ohio State University, May 2000 (Ray-Chaudhuri Festschrift)*, K. T. Arasu and A. Seress, Eds. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2002, pp. 273–291.
- [41] I. Tamo and M. Schwartz, "Correcting limited-magnitude errors in the rank-modulation scheme," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 2551–2560, Jun. 2010.

- [42] J. Tuliani, "De Bruijn sequences with efficient decoding algorithms," *Discrete Math.*, vol. 226, no. 1, pp. 313–336, Jan. 2001.
- [43] H. Vinck, J. Haering, and T. Wadayama, "Coded M-FSK for power line communications," in *Proc. 2000 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory* (*ISIT2000*), Sorrento, Italy, 2000, 137.
- [44] Z. Wang, A. Jiang, and J. Bruck, "On the capacity of bounded rank modulation for flash memories," in *Proc. 2009 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory (ISIT2009)*, Seoul, Korea, Jun. 2009, pp. 1234–1238.
- [45] E. Yaakobi, P. H. Siegel, and J. K. Wolf, "Buffer codes for multi-level flash memory," in *Proc. 2008 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory* (*ISIT2008*), Toronto, ON, Canada, 2008, Poster.

Eyal En Gad was born in Israel in 1982. He received the B.Sc. degree in 2008 from the Electrical Engineering Department, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel. He is now a doctoral student with the Department of Electrical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena. His research interests include information theory and data storage.

Michael Langberg (M'07) is a Senior Lecturer in the Computer Science division at the Open University of Israel. Previously, between 2003 and 2006, he was a postdoctoral scholar in the Computer Science and Electrical Engineering departments at the California Institute of Technology. He received his B.Sc. in mathematics and computer science from Tel-Aviv University in 1996, and his M.Sc. and Ph.D. in computer science from the Weizmann Institute of Science in 1998 and 2003 respectively.

Dr. Langberg's research is in the fields of Theoretical Computer Science and Information Theory. His work focuses on the design and analysis of algorithms for combinatorial problems; emphasizing on algorithmic and combinatorial aspects of Information Theory, and on probabilistic methods in combinatorics.

Moshe Schwartz (M'03–SM'10) was born in Israel in 1975. He received the B.A. (summa cum laude), M.Sc., and Ph.D. degrees from the Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel, in 1997, 1998, and 2004 respectively, all from the Computer Science Department.

He was a Fulbright post-doctoral researcher in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California San Diego, and a post-doctoral researcher in the Department of Electrical Engineering, California Institute of Technology. He now holds a position with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel. He also received the 2009 IEEE Communications Society Best Paper Award in Signal Processing and Coding for Data Storage. His research interests include algebraic coding, combinatorial structures, and digital sequences.

Jehoshua Bruck (S'86–M'89–SM'93–F'01) is the Gordon and Betty Moore Professor of Computation and Neural Systems and Electrical Engineering at the California Institute of Technology. He received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in electrical engineering from the Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, in 1982 and 1985, respectively and the Ph.D. degree in Electrical Engineering from Stanford University in 1989.

Dr. Bruck's research focuses on information theory and systems and the theory computation in biological networks. His extensive industrial experience includes working for IBM Research as well as cofounding and serving as chairman of Rainfinity (acquired in 2005 by EMC) and XtremIO.

Dr. Bruck is a Fellow of the IEEE, a recipient of the Feynman Prize for Excellence in Teaching, a Sloan Research Fellowship, a National Science Foundation Young Investigator Award, an IBM Outstanding Innovation Award and an IBM Outstanding Technical Achievement Award.

His papers were recognized in journals and conferences, including, winning the 2009 IEEE Communications Society best paper award in Signal Processing and Coding for Data Storage for his paper on rank modulation for flash memories; the 2005 A. Schelkunoff Transactions prize paper award from the IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society for his paper on signal propagation in wireless networks; and the 2003 best paper award in the 2003 Design Automation Conference for his paper on cyclic combinational circuits.